Gene Expression Patterns Associated With Histopathology in Toxic Liver Fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Toxic industrial chemicals induce liver injury, which is difficult to diagnose without invasive procedures. Identifying indicators of end organ injury can complement exposure-based assays and improve predictive power. A multiplexed approach was used to experimentally evaluate a panel of 67 genes predicted to be associated with the fibrosis pathology by computationally mining DrugMatrix, a publicly available repository of gene microarray data. Five-day oral gavage studies in male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with varying concentrations of 3 fibrogenic compounds (allyl alcohol, carbon tetrachloride, and 4,4'-methyleneedianiline) and 2 nonfibrogenic compounds (bromobenzene and dexamethasone) were conducted. Fibrosis was definitively diagnosed by histopathology. The 67-plex gene panel accurately diagnosed fibrosis in both microarray and multiplexed-gene expression assays. Necrosis and inflammatory infiltration were comorbid with fibrosis. ANOVA with contrasts identified that 51 of the 67 predicted genes were significantly associated with the fibrosis phenotype, with 24 of these specific to fibrosis alone. The protein product of the gene most strongly correlated with the fibrosis phenotype PCOLCE (Procollagen C-Endopeptidase Enhancer) was dose-dependently elevated in plasma from animals administered fibrogenic chemicals (P < .05). Semiquantitative global mass spectrometry analysis of the plasma identified an additional 5 protein products of the gene panel which increased after fibrogenic toxicant administration: fibronectin, ceruloplasmin, vitronectin, insulin-like growth factor binding protein, and α2-macroglobulin. These results support the data
More than 84,000 chemicals are in existence around the globe with hundreds more introduced for consumer use each year (Allen, 2013). Given the expense and time required for animal-based toxicity profiling, most of these chemicals will never be adequately assessed for toxicity, and, hence, we need practical alternatives to the comprehensive testing paradigm. Complementary methods to predict end organ toxicity can provide an alternative approach to toxicological effects associated with chemical hazards. Advances in data mining strategies and the availability of large public repositories of toxicology data derived from thousands of chemical exposures have enabled advances in hypothesis-driven phenotypic linking of gene expression to pathological responses (Ganter et al., 2005; Igarashi et al., 2015; Ihmels et al., 2002; National Toxicology Program, 2010). Mining these databases using bioinformatics or systems biology tools has the potential to elucidate molecular mechanisms of drug toxicity by linking gene expression profiles, toxicity pathways, and standard-of-care clinical testing parameters (Edginton and Willmann, 2008; Harrill and Rusyn, 2008). Thus, computational systems toxicology uses the concept of a toxicity-related biological pathway to identify sets of genes or proteins that can be incorporated in biomarker panels whose differential readout can then be linked to different chemical injuries. Termed “bridging biomarkers,” these analytes are designed to link specific adverse effects, regardless of the nature of the chemical injury, to clinically relevant endpoints such as abnormal histopathology with better accuracy than any single analyte.

The liver is one of the primary organs affected by exposure to toxic industrial chemicals and pollutants due to its central role in xenobiotic metabolism (Antoine et al., 2013). The heterogeneity in response among individuals to toxic chemicals and the multitude of pathways contributing to hepatic injury makes it unlikely that any single gene or biomolecule will be sufficient as an early indicator of hepatic injury (Aldridge et al., 2003; Campion et al., 2013). Multiplexed panels of biomolecules for hepatotoxicity will have greater applicability across a wide range of chemical-dose-exposure scenarios (Alfirevic and Pirmohamed, 2012; Meldrum et al., 2011). Toxico-genomics holds promise for identifying changes in multiple genes induced by exposure to hepatotoxic chemicals (Amacher, 2010; Beger et al., 2010; Fontana, 2014; Heinloth et al., 2007). Importantly, mechanistic-based biomarker discovery provides an early recognition of signature gene changes reflecting a specific toxicological endpoint regardless of the original chemical insult (Ozer et al., 2008).

Mining large repositories of publically available data affords insight into gene changes correlating with specific liver histopathologies (Cui and Paules, 2010; Heinloth et al., 2007). One such resource is the DrugMatrix database (Ganter et al., 2005; National Toxicology Program, 2010), a public repository of microarray data, histopathology, and clinical chemistry data from more than 3,200 drug and toxicant exposures in Sprague Dawley rats. Of the chemicals tested in the repository, 127 are toxic industrial chemicals (ie, nonpharmaceuticals), with 1,221 associated gene expression profiles, clinical chemistry data, and graded histology slides from liver toxicity endpoints. The repository is fertile ground for training computational algorithms predictive of liver pathology. In recent publications, we used data in the DrugMatrix database as a training data set to identify coexpression modules and protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks associated with pathogenesis of liver disease, with particular emphasis on liver fibrosis (AbdulHameed et al., 2014; Tawa et al., 2014).

In our previous work, we identified coexpression modules predictive of the liver fibrosis pathology by bioinformatically mining the DrugMatrix data repository (AbdulHameed et al., 2014; Ihmels et al., 2002; Tawa et al., 2014). The purpose of this study was to (1) identify gene expression patterns phenotypically anchored to histopathology, (2) determine whether the protein products of genes coding for secreted proteins are differentially expressed in plasma, and (3) determine whether any of these genes are early indicators of fibrogenesis. To achieve these aims, we downselected the genes previously identified in the coexpression modules to a 67-plex panel and experimentally verified the robustness and reproducibility of the panel in diagnosing liver fibrosis. We tested the fidelity of the 67-plex signature in Sprague Dawley rats administered 5 compounds orally for 5 days (Table 1). All chemicals were also tested in the DrugMatrix studies. Three of the 5 chemicals were prototypic fibrotic agents with the remaining 2 chemicals known to induce nonfibrotic liver damage. The fibrogenic toxicants 4,4′-methyleneedianiline (4,4′-MDA) (4,4′-diaminodiphenylmethane) and allyl alcohol independently caused microscopic evidence of bile duct hyperplasia and fibrosis comparable to the DrugMatrix studies. Carbon tetrachloride caused delayed-onset fibrosis in the DrugMatrix studies (ie, after 28 days of exposure), although our study identified evidence of increased centrilobular collagen at 5 days, which is indicative of early fibrotic lesions as previously described (Fujii et al., 2010; Kamada et al., 2003). The nonfibrogenic chemicals did not cause fibrosis, although both were hepatotoxic. Bromobenzene caused fatty liver and hepatic necrosis at high doses and dexamethasone caused microscopic evidence of glycogen accumulation and hepatic necrosis. Allyl alcohol and 4,4′-MDA administration resulted in a dose-dependent increase in differential gene expression commensurate with the fibrosis histopathology in 90%–95% of the gene signature panel. The results obtained in our microarray analysis and the previous DrugMatrix studies were independently verified using Bioplex technology with 76% of the signature genes exhibiting differential expression in animals presenting with fibrosis. These data demonstrate the utility of mining large repositories of experimental data using systems toxicology techniques to identify markers for specific histopathologies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Test chemicals. The following test chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Corporation (St Louis, Missouri): 4,4′-MDA (chemical abstracts service)CAS No. 101-77-9), dexamethasone (CAS No. 50-02-2), allyl alcohol (CAS No. 107-18-6), bromobenzene (CAS No. 108-86-1), and carbon tetrachloride (CAS No. 56-23-5). All chemical compounds were diluted in corn oil (CAS No. 8001-30-7) (MP Biomedicals, LLC [Solon, Ohio]). The dose volume was 5 ml/kg. Doses were selected based on doses published in...
TABLE 1. Compound-Dose Groups and Presumptive Mechanisms of Toxicity After Oral Exposure in Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Doses (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Mechanism of Hepatotoxicity</th>
<th>Predicted Histopathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fibrogenic:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl Alcohol</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Group 1 (n=5): 0, 21, 27, 35, 45 Group 2 (n=4): 0, 5, 10, 21, 45</td>
<td>Free radical damage by toxic metabolite (acrolein):</td>
<td>Fibrosis, bile duct hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Methylene-dianiline (MDA)</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Group 1 (n=5): 0, 22, 60, 162 Group 2 (n=4): 0, 8, 22, 60, 162</td>
<td>Conversion to toxic metabolites by n-acetyltransferase enzymes</td>
<td>Fibrosis, necrosis, bile duct hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Group 1 (n=5): 0, 20, 43, 93, 200 Group 2: 0, 200 (n=4)</td>
<td>Free radical damage by toxic metabolite, lipid peroxidation + CCl₃</td>
<td>Fatty liver, necrosis, fibrosis (delayed-onset)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Fibrogenic:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Group 1 (n=5): 0, 124, 229, 424, 785 Group 2 (n=4): 0, 785</td>
<td>Free radical damage by toxic metabolite:</td>
<td>Fatty liver, necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Group 1 (n=4): 0, 1 Group 2 (n=5): 0, 1</td>
<td>Indirect lipid accumulation by increased circulating triglycerides</td>
<td>Minimal; glycogen accumulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dose Selection: a, Ganter et al., 2005; b, Irwin, 2006; c, National Toxicology Program, 2002; d, National Toxicology Program, 2010; e, National Toxicology Program, 2011; f, Smialowicz et al., 1991.

the DrugMatrix database, the National Toxicology Program reports, and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry reports (Ganter et al., 2005; Irwin, 2006; National Toxicology Program, 2002, 2010, 2011; Smialowicz et al., 1991).

Animals. In vivo rat experiments were performed at Integrated Laboratory Systems (ILS, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina). The ILS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all experimental procedures. Research was conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act, and other federal statutes and regulations relating to animals. Experiments involving animals adhered to principles stated in the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, 2011) as prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council in facilities that are fully accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, International. Male Sprague Dawley rats (CD IGS [CRL:CD (SD)]) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, North Carolina). Rats weighing 215–245 g (8 weeks old) were used. Briefly, rats were housed 2 per cage with a rat tunnel enrichment device (Bio-Serve, Frenchtown, New Jersey). Rats were fed a Purina Rodent Diet No. 5002 (Ralston Purina Co, St Louis, Missouri), supplied ad libitum. Animals received reverse osmosis-treated tap water (City of Durham, North Carolina) ad libitum, which was changed at least once weekly. Controls in the animal rooms were set to maintain temperatures between 20°C–25°C with a relative humidity of 30%–70% and a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle, lights on at 6:00 AM. Clinically healthy animals were assigned to dose groups using a procedure that stratifies animals across groups by body weight such that mean body weight of each group was not statistically different from any other group using ANOVA (Statistical Analysis System version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Animals were dosed by oral gavage for 5 consecutive days (± 10 min) from the previous day’s dose administration time (Table 1). Volume was based upon daily body weight. Two separate groups of experimental animals were used in the analysis: the training set (group 1, processed for microarray analysis) and the testing set (group 2, processed for Bioplex analysis).

Clinical observations and tissue collection. Animals were observed cage-side 1 h following daily dose administration, then 1–2 times per day during dosing regimens. Body weights were measured daily prior to dose administration, and prior to euthanasia. Twenty-four hours after the final dose administration, animals were euthanized. Livers were harvested at necropsy and weighed to within 0.1 g. One-half of the left lobe of the liver was fixed in 10% formalin. The remaining half of the left lobe of the liver was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored below –70°C. Liver specimens were frozen in < 3 min from time of death.

Histopathology. Formalin-fixed liver specimens were embedded in paraffin blocks. A 5-μm section of liver from each animal was stained with hematoxylin and eosin, Oil Red O, and Masson’s trichrome for microscopic evaluation. The tissues were evaluated by a pathologist certified by the American College of Veterinary Pathologists (MHB). Tissues were semiquantitatively and qualitatively scored for degree of pathology on a descriptive scale with the following distribution: None, 0% of tissue affected; Minimal, > 0%–30% of tissue affected; Mild, > 30%–60% of the tissue affected; Moderate, 60%–80% of the tissue affected; Marked, > 80% of the tissue affected.
RNA isolation. Working on dry ice, a portion (approximately 10 mg) of flash-frozen liver was cut from each sample and transferred to a clean, labeled tube. Total RNA was then isolated using Qiagen’s miRNeasy 96 kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California) following manufacturer’s instructions with a final elution volume of approximately 150 µl (2 × 75 µl). The quality and quantity of RNA samples were evaluated with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California), using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Reagents and a multiwell NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts).

Transcriptomics analysis: Affymetrix gene array. Hybridization-ready, fragmented, labeled, sense-stranded DNA targets were prepared using Affymetrix GeneChip WT Plus Reagent Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California) according to manufacturer’s recommendations with an input of 100 ng total RNA. The labeled cDNA targets, trays, and arrays were prepared with the GeneTitan Hybridization, Wash and Stain Kit for WT arrays (Affymetrix). Samples were applied to the Rat Gene 2.1 ST 96 Array Plate and analyzed in the GeneTitan System (Affymetrix) according to manufacturer’s recommendations. GeneTitan scanning quality control was performed, including visual inspection and quality control metrics supplied by Expression Console (ie, hybridization control performance, internal control gene performance feature sets, expression console). The gene level iterPLIER procedure discards poor-performing features. Pearson’s Correlation and Spearman Rank Correlation, and Affymetrix). Pearson’s $R^2$ was calculated for each set of replicates within each group. Replicates not meeting these criteria were repeated (threshold: $R^2$ of 85%)

Gene array data analysis. The arrays were normalized using an extension of the Probe Logarithmic Intensity Error (PLIER) algorithm (ie, the iterPLIER procedure in the Affymetrix Expression Console). The gene level iterPLIER procedure discards poor-performance feature sets. (Qu et al., 2010). “CHP” files were imported into Partek Genomics Suite (version 6.12.9097). Affymetrix library files included all available reference files related to RatGene-2.1-st. An ANOVA using pathologies as variables was conducted with contrasts to determine differentially expressed genes with an (false discovery rate) FDR $0.05$ by Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate (Qu et al., 2010; Reiner et al., 2003).

Microarray data described in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database with accession number GSE70559.

iTRAQ (isobaric tag for relative and absolute quantification) analysis. Serum and plasma proteins were immunodepleted using the Agilent Multiple Affinity Removal System (MARS) for Human-14 column and Thermo Seppro 7 spin column for rat. For the Thermo Seppro 7 spin column (PN 56199), 20 µl of serum or plasma were depleted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the MARS depletion, 50 µl of sample was diluted with 450 µl of Agilent Buffer A (PN 5185-5987) and filtered through Agilent 0.22 µM spin filters (PN 5185-5990). To prevent overloading the column, 2 injections of 200 µl each were made onto an Agilent HU-14 4.6 × 100 mm column (PN 5188-5218) maintained at 23°C. An Agilent 1100 HPLC equipped with an autosampler with an expanded injection loop and needle seat, a diode array detector, a fraction collector, and a Chemstation data system was used for the separation. The sample well plate and fraction collector was set to 8°C. A gradient was used for the separation. The initial conditions were 100% buffer A at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The initial conditions were maintained for 15 min. At 15.01 min the solvent was changed to 100% Buffer B (Agilent PN 5185-5988) and the flow rate was increased to 1 ml/min. These conditions were maintained until 22 min, and then a gradient to 100% Buffer A at 1 ml/min was completed at 22.1 min. At 33 min, the flow rate was reduced to 0.25 ml/min and the run was stopped. The 280 nm wavelength was monitored to ensure the reproducibility of the injections. The fraction collector was programmed to collect time-based 1 min fractions starting at 2 min and was turned off at 18.9 min. Fractions 1 through 12 from both injections were pooled from to create the flow-through “F1” fraction.

The depleted samples were buffer exchanged using Agilent 5 kDa molecular weight cut off filters. The flow-through fraction was reduced to <500 µl by centrifugation. Three milliliters of 10 mM tetrathylammonium bicarbonate were added and the sample reduced to 500 µl. This step was repeated twice. On the final spin, the sample was reduced to 300 µl or less. The final volume was brought back to 300 µl, filtered through Agilent spin filters, and protein concentration was determined using Pierce BCA assay (PN 23227, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). For iTRAQ labeling, all of the protein and reagents were doubled to increase the amount of protein injected. Two hundred micrograms of the protein was dried by speed vaporator and treated to the iTRAQ protocol using iTRAQ 8-plex reagents (PN 4390812, Sciei, Redwood City, California). Samples were reduced in 50 mM tris-(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine; cysteines were blocked in 200 mM methanethiosulfonate; and proteins were digested with 10 µg trypsin overnight and then isotopically labeled. The combined iTRAQ samples were then put in a vacuum centrifuge for 2 h at 45°C to remove the majority of the isopropanol. One thousand one hundred microliters of mobile phase was added to the sample (3% formic acid: 2 mM ammonium formate: 25% acetonitrile in water).

Samples were fractionated using polysulfomethyl A columns (200 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm, 100 Å, Polycl, Columbia, Maryland) at a flow rate of 0.95 ml/min using the Agilent 1100 HPLC previously described. The column compartment temperature was set at 35°C and sample injection volume was 1 ml. Separation solvents were solvent A (3% formic acid in water), solvent B (500 mM ammonium formate and 3% formic acid in water), and solvent C (acetonitrile). Initial conditions were 73% A: 2% B: 25% C, and then a linear gradient was performed to 75% B: 25% C in 20 min, with a stop time of 30 min. Samples were fractionated, dried, and reconstituted in 80 µl of 0.1% formic acid.

One minute fractions were collected starting at 3 min and continuing until 34.9 min. Samples were dried by vacuum centrifugation at 45°C and reconstituted in 90 µl of 0.1% formic acid. Fractions 1–4 and 5–8 were combined and cleaned up with Pierce C-18 spin columns (PN 89870) according to the manufacturer’s instructions due to the possible presence of some detergents. Fractions 9–24 were analyzed by direct injection. All samples were filtered through 0.22 µM Agilent spin filters prior to analysis.

Orbitrap analysis. Separation of the peptides was performed on a Thermo Proxeon easy nano-LC (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peptides were separated using an Acclaim pepmap 100 C18, 2 cm, 75 µm, 3 µm 100 Å (Thermo Fisher Scientific, PN 164705) trapping columns and an Acclaim pepmap 100 C18, 15 cm, 75 µm id, 3-µm particle size 100 Å (PN E800) analytical column. The sample was loaded with 80 µl of 0.1% formic acid in water. The injection volume was 10 µl. A gradient of 0.1% formic acid in water (pump A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (pump B)
was used. The gradient profile was as follows initially 3% B, 45% B at 50 min, 95% B at 100 min, 5% B at 105 min, 1% B at 106 min, and the analysis stopped at 110 min.

Parent ion scans were done in the LTQ Orbitrap Velos (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using 60 000 resolution over the mass range of 400–1800 m/z. The top 10 peptides were selected for fragmentation by high collision dissociation (HCD). The HCD settings were minimum signal threshold 500, resolution of 7500, isolation width of 2 m/z, stepped collision energy at 40 and 50 V, default charge state of 2, and an activation time of 0.1 ms. Dynamic exclusion was done with a repeat count of 1 with a repeat duration of 20 s. An exclusion list of up to 50 precursors was created and the exclusion duration was 20 s. Exclusion was done relative to mass with a 2.5 AMU high limit and an 1.25 AMU low limits. Charge state screening was enabled and a charge state of 1 was rejected. The monoisotopic precursor selection was turned off because it was found that the presence of iTRAQ reagents interfered with the natural isotopic patterns of the peptides. Mass exclusion lists containing the precursors for high confidence peptides were created for fractions containing more than 100 identified peptides, and the fractions were repeated to improve coverage. Mass spectral data was processed using Thermo Proteome Discoverer 1.4. These peak lists were searched by Sequest against a rat database downloaded from NCBI with limits to Refseq. The database rat_4_10_15.fasta were searched using fixed modifications on cystine (metylthio) was searched using more than 100 identified peptides, and the fractions were repeated to improve coverage. Mass spectral data was processed using Thermo Proteome Discoverer 1.4. These peak lists were searched by Sequest against a rat database downloaded from NCBI with limits to Refseq. The database rat_4_10_15.fasta was searched using fixed modifications on cystine (metylthio) and any N-terminus or lysine (iTRAQ 8-plex) and variable modifications applied to methionine (oxidation), serine/tyrosine/threonine (phosphorylation), tyrosine (iTRAQ 8 plex), and asparagine and glutamine (deamidation). Proteome Discoverer processed the raw data with the following parameters: the mass tolerance values were set at 10 ppm for precursor ions and 0.8 Da for fragment ions, the enzyme was set to trypsin, the maximum number of modifications per peptide was 4, and the maximum number of missed cleavages was 2. Only scans with a 1% FDR as determined by percolator were used for protein identification.

Quantitative iTRAQ data analysis. Scaffold Q+ (version Scaffold 4.2.1, Proteome Software Inc, Portland, Oregon) was used to quantitate peptide and protein identifications from MS/MS spectra. Peptide identifications were accepted if the probability was > 95.0% by the Scaffold Local FDR algorithm. Protein identifications > 99.0% probability with at least 2 identified peptides and probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm (Nesvizhskii et al., 2003). Proteins were grouped into clusters containing similar peptides not differentiable by MS/MS analysis alone to satisfy the principles of parsimony, correcting channels as described in i-Tracker (Shadforth et al., 2005). Acquired intensities were globally normalized across all acquisition runs. Individual quantitative samples were normalized within each acquisition run. Intensities for each peptide identification were normalized within the assigned protein, and the reference channels were normalized to produce a 1:1 fold change. All normalization calculations were performed using medians to multiplicatively normalize data. A ± 1.3-fold threshold was used to identify differentially expressed proteins as described by Ahn et al. (2014).

Statistics: Bioplex data. Bioplex data were imported into GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc; LaJolla, California) for analysis. A nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by ranks with post hoc Dunnett’s multiple comparison test was used to determine statistical difference among dose groups. A 1 sample t test compared with a theoretical mean of 1.0-fold change was used to determine difference from control for each dose group. A P value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Gene panel selection. The gene panel was determined using 2 computational approaches: (1) a coexpression modules approach using iterative signature algorithms (Ihmels et al., 2002) and (2) a pathway and network analysis approach (AbdulHameed et al., 2014; Tawa et al., 2014). The DrugMatrix liver gene expression data generated using Affymetrix GeneChip Rat Genome 230 2.0 Arrays were used for analysis. In the coexpression modules approach, genes were grouped into 78 distinct coexpression modules based on similarity of expression patterns across conditions, each condition defined as a particular compound-dose combination. The characteristic of correlated expression inherent in these modules implies that the module genes are associated with a common biochemical process. The resultant gene coexpression modules can be members of multiple modules. This is consistent with the fact that genes are, in general, associated with multiple biochemical pathways. Gene coexpression modules associated with liver fibrosis were identified as those exhibiting an average absolute activation value > 1.5 times control when exposed to compound-dose combinations that caused fibrosis (Dalmas et al., 2011). Center genes were identified from these modules as those with absolute activation closest to the module average. These center genes were chosen to be part of the multiplex panel (Tawa et al., 2014).

In a pathway and network analysis approach, liver fibrosis–relevant genes were identified and mapped to pathways and high-confidence human PPI networks. The standard differential expression and coexpression analysis approach was carried out using rank product and hierarchical clustering, respectively, to identify liver fibrosis–relevant genes (Breitling et al., 2004; Gentleman et al., 2004). These genes were mapped to high-confidence human PPI networks (Yu et al., 2009). Cytoscape tools such as KeyPathway Miner and Clusterviz were used to extract network modules (Alcaraz et al., 2012). Network modules represent closely connected regions of the network and are expected to participate in similar function. Network modules with high activation scores in DrugMatrix liver fibrosis conditions and enrichment in known fibrosis–related genes were extracted. Differentially expressed genes in this module were chosen to be part of our multiplex panel (AbdulHameed et al., 2014).

Bioplex assay. For the Bioplex assay, a custom QuantilGene 2.0 Plex assay was developed (Affymetrix) with 71 target genes plus 3 housekeeping genes. Using 150 ng RNA input, samples were processed following the manufacturer’s instructions for purified RNA with use of the Hand-Held Magnetic Plate Washer. Plates were read immediately following the final wash using the BioPlex 200 instrument (BioRad, Hercules, California). The following parameters were set on the BioPlex instrument: sample size = 100 μl; DD Gate = 5000–25 000; Timeout = 45 sec; and Bead Event/Bead Region = 100.

Bioplex data analysis. For each sample, the average signal intensity was determined as recommended by the manufacturer. Duplicates were averaged. The average background signal for each gene was subtracted. Assay limit of detection was determined by adding 3 standard deviations of assay background signals to the average intensity of the background control wells. Probes with all intensities below the assay limit of detection
were plotted as log2 ratio. Gene expression data were imported into Partek Genomics Suite 6.0 (Partek, Inc, St Louis, Missouri). Principal component analysis and ANOVA were used for multi-variate interpretation to determine sources of variation across sample groups (Jollife and Morgan, 1992). Differentially expressed genes were determined by ANOVA with contrasts, setting the ANOVA factors as the microscopic endpoints of fibrosis or collagen accumulation (any score > 0). ANOVA variables were fibrosis score 0 (none) vs score of > 0 (minimal, mild, moderate, and marked). For hierarchical biclustering, differentially expressed genes were standardized by setting the genes expressed to a mean of zero and scaling to a standard deviation of 1. Euclidean geometry was used to cluster row and column dissimilarity using a method of average linkage.

Random forest classifier. Random forest analysis was used to identify the top genes that contribute most to the classifier performance. The microarray gene expression data were used as the training set, and the Bioplex data were used as the testing set. Only genes measured in both the Bioplex and the microarray data were used to build the classifier. Histopathology was used to categorize the animals as true positives or true negatives for the fibrosis phenotype (all classifications > 1).

PCOLCE ELISA assay. PCOLCE protein ELISA assays were performed on rat plasma according to the manufacturer’s instructions (CUSABIO, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China). Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with post hoc Dunn’s multiple comparison test was used to determine statistical significance.

Results

Histopathology

Two separate groups of experimental animals were used in the analysis: the training set (group 1, processed for microarray analysis) and the testing set (group 2, processed for Bioplex analysis). In group 1, male Sprague Dawley rats were administered compounds by oral gavage for 5 days (Table 1). All chemicals except bromobenzene caused a dose-dependent increase in some or all of the clinical chemistries indicative of liver injury (AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH) (Table 2). The fibrogenic chemicals 4,4′-MDA (Figs. 1A–C) and allyl alcohol (Figs. 1D–F) caused a dose-dependent increase in fibrosis. The fibrosis pathology was comorbid with a dose-dependent increase in inflammation, bile duct hyperplasia, and hepatocellular necrosis (Supplementary Table 1). Animals receiving the highest doses gained less weight over the 5-day exposure interval than their low-dose counterparts.

Carbon tetrachloride caused a dose-dependent increase in vacuolation confirmed to be lipid accumulation via Oil Red O histochemical reaction (Figs. 1G–I). Slight necrosis was evident in some of the livers.

Comorbid histopathologies are summarized for all treatments and all doses in Supplementary Table 1.

Transcriptomics

Global transcriptomics using microarray technology and targeted gene selection and analysis using Bioplex technology were conducted. For both analyses, the gene signatures were targeted for analysis (see selection parameters in the Materials and Methods section for gene selection). Briefly, gene selection was achieved by generating coexpression modules, then selecting centroid genes with greatest fold changes from control (n = 25 in each method). The genes most correlated with the fibrosis pathology in the DrugMatrix database were selected. For inclusivity, we also used a less selective filtering method and selected approximately 16 genes with the greatest fold change representing the fibrosis-specific modules. Unbiased biclustering of the microarray transcriptomics data demonstrated that chemicals causing the fibrosis pathology clustered together (Figure 3, dark, clustered near the bottom and light bars indicating fibrosis grade). Carbon tetrachloride caused collagen accumulation in the centrilobular region, a pathology associated with prefibrotic lesions. Gene profiles for the higher doses of carbon tetrachloride showed expression patterns partially resembling the fibrotic phenotype (Figure 3).

To determine whether the comorbid pathologies of fibrosis, necrosis, inflammation, and vacuolation caused complementary changes in expression profile for the fibrogenic genes, we generated principle components analysis plots of the pathologies (Figs. 4A–D). Most of the variation in the data could be explained by the comorbid pathologies except vacuolation. Interaction analysis was conducted to determine which genes were specific to the fibrosis phenotype as opposed to necrosis and/or inflammation. Contrast analysis identified 51 of the 67 genes in the predictive panel (Supplementary Table 1) associated with the fibrosis phenotype, of which 6 overlapped with the inflammation phenotype and 1 overlapped with necrosis (Figure 5A). Twenty-four were unique to the fibrosis phenotype (Figure 5B).

Multiplexed Fibrosis Gene Panel

To test the predictive power of these genes in multiplexed format, a second set of animals was orally administered selected doses of the test chemicals (group 2, test set of experimental animals). Chemical dose groups were downselected to conform to the 96-well assay format of the multiplexed panel of presumptive fibrosis genes (Bioplex). Three of the 4 dose groups were evaluated for the fibrogenic chemicals allyl alcohol and 4,4′-MDA. A single dose with group-matched vehicle controls for each of the remaining chemicals was selected. The high doses of carbon tetrachloride, dexamethasone, and bromobenzene were selected. The fibrosis, lipid accumulation, and glycogen accumulation pathologies were comparable to the first set of animals (Figure 6).
Isolated liver RNA was incubated with microbead-bound capture probes specific for the presumptive fibrogenic genes and 3 housekeeping normalization genes. All fluorescent signal intensity values for 4 genes (Dram1, Myoc, Pdgf, and Lama5) fell below the limits of assay detection. These genes were excluded from further analysis. For a complete list of differentially expressed genes, refer to supplemental data (Supplementary Table 1).

The expression patterns of the 67 genes measured by the Bioplex multiplexed assay correlated positively with the expression patterns reported in the DrugMatrix database (R^2 = 0.79; Figure 7A). The average log-ratio versus control across all samples showing fibrosis for genes on the Bioplex assay was well correlated with the microarray data in the original set of test animals (R^2 = 0.86; Figure 7B).

Differential gene expression analysis was by hierarchical biclustering with the fibrogenic compounds (all dose groups) (Figure 8). Of the 67 genes available for analysis on the panel, fibrogenic compounds causing fibrosis clustered separately on the y-axis, with the exception of 2 allyl alcohol-treated animals. Dose groups with the fibrosis phenotype clustered separately from the lipid and glycogen accumulation phenotypes (Figure 8). Carbon tetrachloride (the delayed-onset fibrogenic chemical) showed a gene expression profile with features of both the nonfibrogenic and fibrogenic doses of the other compounds. Gene expression profiles for 2 allyl alcohol exposures with the fibrosis phenotype were more similar to the nonfibrogenic compound dose gene expression patterns than the fibrogenic chemicals. All other fibrogenic compound dose groups with the fibrosis phenotype clustered together. All of the fibrosis-positive animals dosed with 4,4'-MDA had comparable expression patterns (Figure 8).

Dose groups causing fibrosis produced more differential gene expression as compared with dose groups without fibrosis (Figure 9). The difference was most pronounced in upregulated genes (Figure 9). Twelve genes were downregulated in the animals exposed to nonfibrogenic compounds and upregulated in fibrogenic compound dose groups (> ± 1.5-fold [average] represented by dashed lines in Figure 9 and listed in Table 3; Col1a1, Col1a2, Col4a1, Cp, Cyba, Fbn1, Itgam, Itgb2, procollagen C-endopeptidase enhancer [Pcolce], urokinase plasminogen [Plau], Lamc2, and RT1-Da). Of the 67 genes on the final panel, 16 were < ± 1.5-fold-expression (Figure 9; Supplementary Table 1). Expression of 51 out of the 67 genes (76% of the panel) was ± 1.5-fold control expression for fibrogenic compounds with microscopic evidence of fibrosis (Supplementary Table 1). For most of the genes in the panel, expression of the carbon-tetrachloride dose group was midway between fibrosis-positive compound dose groups and nonfibrogenic compound dose groups (Figure 9, orange middle line). Nonfibrogenic compounds induced differential expression in 33 out of the 67 genes (50% of the panel). Gene expression was anticorrelated with fibrogenic, fibrosis-positive cohorts for 12 of these genes (Table 3), further supporting specificity of the expression pattern of these 12 genes for the fibrosis phenotype.

### Classifier for Predicting Fibrosis Development

The gene panel tested in the group 1 experimental animals was used as the training data set to build a classifier for predicting fibrosis. Only genes present in both microarray and Bioplex data sets were used to build the classifier (59 of 62 genes). Of the 77 available samples, 19 were classified as true positives and 58 were classified as true negatives by histopathology. The internal cross-validation estimate of error rate was 2.6 for the training data set (Table 4). Random forest was used to identify the top genes that contribute most to the classifier performance (Table 4; Supplementary Figure 1). The random forest classifier was tested using the Bioplex data set. Of the 35 total animal exposures, 13 exposures produced fibrosis with a histopathology score > 2 (true positives) and 22 exposures produced no fibrosis by histopathology (true negatives). The accuracy of
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**TABLE 2. Blood Clinical Chemistries After Oral Administration in Rats (Group 1 Experimental Animals)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LDH Average</th>
<th>LDH SD</th>
<th>LDH P*</th>
<th>AST Average</th>
<th>AST SD</th>
<th>AST P*</th>
<th>ALT Average</th>
<th>ALT SD</th>
<th>ALT P*</th>
<th>ALP Average</th>
<th>ALP SD</th>
<th>ALP P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>345.8</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>341.0</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol 20.9 mg/kg</td>
<td>399.8</td>
<td>307.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>338.2</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol 27.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>562.4</td>
<td>217.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>601.2</td>
<td>642.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>289.8</td>
<td>292.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>394.2</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol 34.8 mg/kg</td>
<td>462.6</td>
<td>252.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>671.8</td>
<td>521.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>264.6</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>537.8</td>
<td>256.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol 45.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>445.4</td>
<td>329.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1049.8*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>447.2</td>
<td>295.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td>663.6*</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>412.6</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>289.4</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene 124 mg/kg</td>
<td>580.4</td>
<td>214.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>277.4</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene 229 mg/kg</td>
<td>633.4</td>
<td>251.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>354.4</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene 424 mg/kg</td>
<td>502.0</td>
<td>281.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>227.6</td>
<td>220.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>177.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>316.2</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene 785 mg/kg</td>
<td>510.4</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>289.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>547.0</td>
<td>214.8</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>331.8</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride 20.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>472.8</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>350.4</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride 43.1 mg/kg</td>
<td>693.8</td>
<td>230.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>406.0</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride 92.8 mg/kg</td>
<td>594.6</td>
<td>236.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>143.4</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>369.0</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon tetrachloride 200 mg/kg</td>
<td>505.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>194.4*</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>116.2*</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>490.6</td>
<td>156.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>412.2</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>396.9</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-MDA 22.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>451.6</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>338.8</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-MDA 59.7 mg/kg</td>
<td>554.6</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>301.6*</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>269.0*</td>
<td>157.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>499.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-MDA 162.0 mg/kg</td>
<td>402.2</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>381.2*</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>217.6*</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>603.2*</td>
<td>124.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone, 1 mg/kga</td>
<td>759.2</td>
<td>230.0</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>313.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>239.4</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>359.4</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aDexamethasone shares a vehicle control with 4,4'-MDA; 4,4'-MDA, 4,4'-MDA.

*p value, Kruskal Wallis ANOVA by Ranks with post hoc Dunn’s comparison test,*bold for < 0.05 compared with control.
prediction was 88.6% (Table 4). Area under the receiver operator curve was 0.88 for the test set of data.

Protein Expression in the Plasma
Four of the genes included on the panel (Lcn2, α-2 macroglobulin [A2m], Pcolce, and Lbp) were part of a coexpression module including A2m, the gene encoding a protein used in the Fibrosure test for fibrosis or steatohepatitis (Rossi et al., 2003). The differentially expressed genes showed an expression pattern unique to the fibrosis phenotype and fibrogenic chemical classification (Figs. 5 and 10A). Pcolce was the most differentially expressed gene in the fibrosis cohorts (Figs. 5 and 10A). Pcolce expression levels induced by dexamethasone and bromobenzene were anticorrelated with corresponding gene expression levels induced by 4,4′-MDA and allyl alcohol (both fibrogenic compounds) (Figure 10A). The protein product of Pcolce was significantly and dose-dependently upregulated in plasma in animals dosed with fibrogenic chemicals relative to nonfibrogenic chemicals (Figure 10B).

Global semiquantitative proteomics analysis (iTRAQ analysis) identified protein products of a subset of the 24 genes differentially expressed in liver tissue specific for the fibrosis phenotype (Table 5; Figure 5B). Six protein products were identified in plasma, 4 in the serum, and 2 in the liver tissue (Table 5). All protein products were identified in the contrast analysis as specific for the fibrosis phenotype. The direction of the changes in protein products matched the transcriptomics data with the exception of insulin-like growth factor binding protein complex acid labile subunit precursor. This protein was decreased in expression in plasma but the genes Igfbp1 and Igfbp2 were upregulated in liver tissue.

Categorization of Fibrogenic Signature Gene Panel
Differentially expressed genes in the fibrogenic signature gene panel were categorized into the following mechanistic groups based on a review of the literature for each gene: hyperplasia, fibrosis and extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, inflammatory signaling/chemotaxis, xenobiotic metabolism, and contractility (Figure 11). Twelve genes upregulated above control expression by at least 1.5-fold in the fibrosis-inducing compound-dose groups showed the opposite expression pattern in the nonfibrogenic compound-dose groups (group 2 Bioplex
experimental animals; Table 3 and Figs. 9 and 11). These genes were associated with multiple mechanistic categories of fibrosis, including inflammation and chemotaxis, and ECM deposition/degradation (Figure 11). None of the anticorrelated genes were associated with hyperplasia or contractility (Figure 11; Table 3).

DISCUSSION
The observations in this study demonstrate (1) the predictive power of bioinformatics-based gene signatures for hepatic pathologies, (2) the utility of multiplexed technology in testing these predictions, and (3) novel plasma/serum-based biomarkers of fibrosis. Transcriptomics data (microarray or Bioplex) were 90%–95% correlated with the DrugMatrix repository predictions. Of the 67 signature genes evaluated in the Bioplex assay, 51 were consistent with predictions (76% accuracy). This study provides experimental verification of the computational and bioinformatics methods used to generate the gene signatures (AbdulHameed et al., 2014; Tawa et al., 2014). This research demonstrates the utility of a multiplexing strategy to evaluate biomolecular signatures linked to microscopic indicators and could suggest a novel panel of biomarkers for earlier predictive assays to complement existing clinical diagnosis using biopsied tissue (Alfirevic and Pirmohamed, 2012; Cui and Paules, 2010; Fontana, 2014; Meldrum et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). Interpreting gene module activation in the context of “Adverse Outcomes Pathways” could provide a more sensitive and specific way to guide regulatory decisions when evaluating risks associated with similar and/or novel drugs and industrial toxicants (Vinken, 2013).

Bioinformatics-Based Database Mining of Public Data Repositories
The main purpose of this study was to test the fidelity of the gene panel developed by mining large public repositories of microarray transcript expression data (more than 3200 exposures) linked to liver histopathology in rodents (Ganter et al., 2005; National Toxicology Program, 2010). Testing the
phenotypic response using multiplexed gene expression assays independent of the microarray platform demonstrated the fidelity of the gene panel, supporting specificity for the observed fibrosis pathology. The delayed-onset fibrogenic agent carbon tetrachloride demonstrated a gene expression pattern intermediate to the fibrotic phenotypes in the biclustering analysis, indicating an association between level of gene expression and time to fibrosis onset that is supported by the literature (National Toxicology Program, 2011).

Our biomarker selection algorithms combine phenotypic- and mechanism-based gene candidates. Relying solely on mechanism-based markers is complicated by the fact that most cases of drug-induced hepatotoxicity are idiosyncratic, and only 30% of instances correlate with cause in the national registry (Alfirevic and Pirmohamed, 2012). Further, phenotypic linking of gene expression signatures could identify novel molecular mechanisms linked to drug-induced toxicity and improve the fidelity of biomarker-based diagnostic assays (Cui et al., 2013).

The heterogeneity in individual responses of specific genes underscores the need for multigene assays to screen multiple targets (Meldrum et al., 2011). Personalized medicine hinges on using host-specific genome data or tissue-specific gene expression profiling to improve diagnosis and minimize the effect of interindividual variability (Fontana, 2014). One of the clinically used noninvasive diagnostic tests for liver injury is the FibroSure panel, which is based on a predictive algorithm incorporating age, gender, and blood concentrations of the analytes α2-macroglobulin, haptoglobin, bilirubin, and apolipoprotein A1. Although the panel correlates well with late-stage fibrosis diagnosed by liver biopsy, it lacks sensitivity and specificity as an early (stage 1–2) diagnostic indicator (Rossi et al., 2003).

The high concordance of the gene signature panels with the predictions also supports the application of the bioinformatics approach to human repositories. The drug-induced liver injury network is currently collecting phenotypic data in conjunction with a repository of genomic DNA, serum, urine, and liver biopsies to develop personalized medicine approaches to treat drug-induced liver injury (Alfirevic and Pirmohamed, 2012). Testing the human homologues of our signature panel of genes for correlation with fibrogenesis may facilitate better characterization of biopsy specimens. Testing secreted proteins in plasma may facilitate earlier detection of fibrosis in susceptible individuals (Leung et al., 2012).

**Mechanisms of Hepatotoxic Injury for Toxicants**

The mechanisms of hepatotoxicity of all toxicants used in this study were deliberately selected to represent fibrinogenic and nonfibrinogenic chemical-dose groups with different times to
injury onset (5 days to 2 weeks) (Yu et al., 2002). In concordance with previous reports, allyl alcohol and 4,4'-MDA showed microscopic evidence of fibrosis within the 5-day study interval (Ganter et al., 2005; National Toxicology Program, 2010). Allyl alcohol rapidly converts to acrolein in the periportal hepatocytes, resulting in rapid glutathione depletion and localization of fibrosis to the periportal region (Jaeschke et al., 1987; Moustafa, 2001). Previous reports concur with our data demonstrating that Tgfβ1 mRNA expression increases with extent of fibrotic injury, with considerable interindividual variability (Jung et al., 2000). Like allyl alcohol, 4,4'-MDA undergoes toxic metabolic conversion in the liver with localization of fibrotic injury to the periportal region (Zhang et al., 2006). Genetic variation in the N-acetyltransferase family of hepatic enzymes correlates with extent of portal damage: the Sprague Dawley strain used in our study (fast acetylators) have correspondingly greater evidence of necrotizing hepatitis than Fisher 344 strains (slow acetylators) (Zhang et al., 2006). Both allyl alcohol and 4,4'-MDA cause fibrosis by directly influencing myofibroblast proliferation. Myofibroblasts secrete cytokines and other catalysts of ECM formation. Thus, both chemicals caused fibrosis within a week of repeated dosing. In contrast, carbon tetrachloride fibrotic injury requires more than 2-4 weeks of repeated dosing (Ganter et al., 2005; Weber et al., 2003; National Toxicology Program, 2010). Carbon tetrachloride directly causes structural damage to zone 3 hepatocytes by cytochrome P450-dependent conversion to the free radical *CCl3*. Sustained tissue necrosis by free radical damage overwhelms repair mechanisms, leading to
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**FIG. 4.** Principal component analysis and inference analysis for 62 presumptive fibrogenic genes by microarray analysis (group 1 experimental animals). Comorbid pathologies of fibrosis (A), necrosis (B), inflammation (C), and vacuolation/lipid accumulation (D) were analyzed by principle components analysis. E, Biclustering analysis indicating cluster of genes by histopathology for the comorbid pathologies. 4,4'-MDA, 4,4'-MDA; 0-no observable pathology; 1-minimal pathology (>0%–<30% of the tissue affected); 2-mild pathology (>30%–60% of tissue affected); 3-moderate pathology (>60%–80% of tissue affected). Data were standardized; red indicates higher expression relative to mean, and green indicates lower expression relative to mean. Full color version available online.
FIG. 5. Genes associated with fibrosis, inflammation, and necrosis endpoints (group 1 experimental animals). A, Venn diagram indicating genes unique to fibrosis endpoint, inflammation, or necrosis. B, 24 genes unique to the fibrosis endpoint alone identified by interference analysis (FDR < 0.05 for necrosis, fibrosis, inflammation; ANOVA with contrasts); *, potential early indicators of fibrotic injury; genes differentially regulated in early fibrosis.

FIG. 6. Periportal fibrosis after 5-day oral administration of 4,4'-MDA or allyl alcohol, liver lipid accumulation after carbon tetrachloride and bromobenzene, and liver glycogen accumulation after dexamethasone in second "test" set of animals (group 2 experimental animals). A, Dose-dependent increase in fibrosis phenotype after 5-days oral administration of 4,4'-MDA and (B) allyl alcohol. C, Elevation of vacuolation indicative of lipid accumulation after 200 mg/kg carbon tetrachloride and 785 mg/kg bromobenzene treatment. D, Increased cytoplasmic alteration indicative of glycogen accumulation after 1 mg/kg dexamethasone administration.
a delay in the fibrosis phenotype (Friedman, 2008; Zhou et al., 2013). The delayed time-to-onset of carbon tetrachloride-induced fibrosis allowed us to examine early markers of fibrosis, as evidenced by clustering of carbon tetrachloride-administered animals midway between the fibrogenic and nonfibrogenic compound-dose groups in Figure 8. Interaction between $\textit{CCL}_3$ free radicals and cellular constituents directly impairs lipid metabolism shortly after initial dosing, leading to the early-onset fatty accumulation observed in our study (Weber et al., 2003). Similarly, bromobenzene is an early onset inducer of lipid accumulation by conversion to its reactive metabolite (2,3- and 3,4-oxide derivatives). Like $\textit{CCL}_3$, bromobenzene reactive intermediates also impair mitochondrial fatty acid $\beta$-oxidation to cause fatty accumulation (Lertratanangkoon et al., 1993).
and/or glycogen accumulation, without fibrosis. Dashed lines represent the 1.5-fold threshold for significance.

Table 3. Anticorrelated Signature Genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fibrogenic Mechanistic Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col1a1</td>
<td>Fibrosis and ECM deposition/degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1a2</td>
<td>Fibrosis and ECM deposition/degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1q1</td>
<td>Fibrosis and ECM deposition/degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyba</td>
<td>Xenobiotic metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fbn1</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itga1</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itgb2</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamc2</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1-Da</td>
<td>Inflammation/chemotaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pcolce</td>
<td>Fibrosis and ECM deposition/degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plau</td>
<td>Fibrosis and ECM deposition/degradation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*downregulated in response to nonfibrogenic chemicals and upregulated in response to fibrogenic chemicals producing fibrogenic phenotype; ECM, extracellular matrix.

Table 4. Random Forest Classifier Model Predictions: Training (Group 1) and Testing (Group 2) Sets of Experimental Animals and Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training (Microarray)</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing (Bioplex)</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*internal cross-validation (estimate of error rate): 2.6.

Prolonged administration of the corticosteroid dexamethasone also inhibits fatty acid β-oxidation but overt fatty accumulation takes longer to manifest as compared with induction by either bromobenzene or carbon tetrachloride. Unlike these direct toxicants, dexamethasone induces steatosis secondary to a generalized suppression of the adrenal-hypothalamic-pituitary axis and demobilization of fat stores (Ciro and Goodman, 1987).

However, the liver glycogen accumulation observed in our study is likely an early indicator of impending steatosis (Jackson et al., 2008; Lupp et al., 1998).

In the initial stages of fibrogenesis, the injury is reversible as cellular mechanisms promote apoptosis of fibrotic cells and tissue regeneration (Friedman, 2008). Thus, a concomitant increase in expression of both pro- and antifibrogenic genes would be expected as the system attempts to reestablish homeostasis. During the 5-day interval of our study, increases in pro- and antifibrogenic gene expression were observed after high dose allyl alcohol and 4,4'-MDA exposure (Figure 11). These findings are corroborated by fibrogenic mechanisms reported in the literature. For some genes, however, our study was the first to show change in expression in the early stages of the fibrogenic phenotype (eg, Pcole). PCOLCE, the secreted protein product, was upregulated in the plasma in our study.

The liver has a high regenerative capacity after initial insult but long-term toxicant exposure overwhelms remodeling and repair mechanisms (Friedman, 2008). The adaptive immune response initiated in hepatic Kupffer cells and natural killer cells exacerbates the initial injury by releasing and recruiting proinflammatory mediators to the site of injury (Zhou et al., 2013). Concomitant activation of hepatic stellate cells and proliferation of myofibroblasts produces ECM components resulting in fibrosis (Friedman, 2008). Genes in the signature panel directly or indirectly correspond with either the fibrosis phenotype or a pathology characteristic of irreversible, late-stage disease progression in postnecrotic and/or fibrotic injury (ie, hepatocellular carcinoma, cirrhosis).

Gene Signatures in Hepatotoxic Injury

Liver fibrosis is generally associated with chronic, low-dose toxicant exposure scenarios, but high doses of potent fibrogenic drugs and compounds can also cause fibrosis within 1 week (Ganter et al., 2005; Hannivoot et al., 2012; National Toxicology Program, 2010). The risk for irreversible damage and hepatic failure increases with percentage of affected tissue (Bataller and Brenner, 2005; Brenner, 2009). Early diagnosis and assessment of fibrotic damage can serve as an early predictor of liver injury after toxic insult (Baranova et al., 2011). The genes in the signature gene panel for fibrosis can be mechanistically subdivided into 3 broad categories: hyperplasia and cell growth, fibrosis/decreased ECM degradation, and inflammatory signaling/chemotaxis (Figure 11) (Friedman, 2008). The observed differential expression patterns after fibrotic injury were mostly consistent with the current literature predictions. Some of the differentially expressed genes were previously associated with more advanced fibrotic injury, suggesting that our study is among the first to report these genes as acute to subacute injury indicators of fibrogenesis.

Growth factors and hyperplasia. The comorbidity of bile duct hyperplasia and fibrosis observed in our study has also been reported in
rodent models of chronic bile duct obstruction by bile duct ligation (Alpini et al., 1988; Davis et al., 1978; Despa et al., 2005; Floyd et al., 2010; Lahaye et al., 1998; Zhao et al., 2008). The proliferated cells are functionally complementary to ductular cells and regulate bile duct flow by hepatocyte Na/K-ATPase. The Na/K-ATPase is negatively regulated by FXYD-domain proteins under conditions of cirrhosis and bile duct hyperplasia (Figure 11) (Alpini et al., 1988; Davis et al., 1978; Despa et al., 2005; Floyd et al., 2010; Lahaye et al., 1998; Zhao et al., 2008). Differential expression of the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (Figure 11) is consistent with recent reports showing differential expression among Igfbp-1, -2, and -3 (Chen et al., 2006; Ghosh and Vaughan, 2012; Novosyadlyy et al., 2005; Ross et al., 1996). Protein products of Igfbp precursor were differentially expressed in the plasma in our study.

Fibrosis and ECM production/degradation. Hepatic stellate cells are activated in the early stages of fibrosis, initiating ECM production and collagen deposition characteristic of fibrotic injury (Brenner, 2009). Tgfβ1 encodes a multifunctional peptide which regulates proliferation, differentiation, adhesion, migration, and many other physiological and pathological functions (Causey et al., 2012; Massague and Gomis, 2006). In the liver, Tgfβ1 encodes a master regulator of ECM production and hepatic stellate cell activation after toxic chemical injury (Ghosh and Vaughan, 2012; Liu, 2008; Liu et al., 2010; Liu and Gaston Pravia, 2010; Van Wettere et al., 2013). In contrast, the inducible form of TGF-β1 (Tgfβ1) was downregulated in the livers with microscopic evidence of fibrosis in this study (Figure 11; Supplementary Table 1). This finding contrasts with recent studies in rodent models of chronic cholangitis and cirrhosis (Lu et al., 2014; Nakken et al., 2007). The reason for the discrepancy is unclear, although Tgfβ1−/− mice have a heightened adaptive immune response (Hei et al., 2011). An anti-inflammatory role for Tgfβ1 is concordant with our report of lower Tgfβ1 expression in animals with increased inflammatory infiltrate. In a recent study, apoptosis of hepatocytes correlated with high producers of TGF-β1, leading to fewer TGF-β1-expressing cells over time (Ueberham et al., 2003). No compensatory proliferation of hepatocytes was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein a</th>
<th>GenInfo Identifier No</th>
<th>P b</th>
<th>Fold change b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibronectin precursor</td>
<td>186972114</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceruloplasmin isoform 1 precursor</td>
<td>401461786</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitronectin precursor</td>
<td>162287178</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insulin-like growth factor-binding protein complex acid labile subunit precursor</td>
<td>71896592</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-2-macroglobulin precursor</td>
<td>158138551</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitronectin precursor</td>
<td>162287178</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceruloplasmin isoform 1 precursor</td>
<td>401461786</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-2-macroglobulin precursor</td>
<td>158138551</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement C1q subcomponent subunit B precursor</td>
<td>9506433</td>
<td>0.5700</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibronectin precursor</td>
<td>186972114</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceruloplasmin isoform 1 precursor</td>
<td>401461786</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aRattus norvegicus.
bFold change (4,4'-MDA/vehicle).
P value, Kruskal Wallis ANOVA by Ranks.

FIG. 10. Dose-dependent increase in expression of Pcolce in liver tissue and its protein product PCOLCE in plasma. Gene expression of Pcolce (A) and its protein product PCOLCE (B) was plotted in exposure groups segregated by chemical. Bromobenzene exposures correlated with histopathological evidence of vacuolation and/or necrosis. High-dose 4,4'-MDA and allyl alcohol exposures were associated with fibrosis histopathology without evidence of vacuolation. CT-induced prefibrotic lesions. MDA, 4,4'-MDA; AA, allyl alcohol, 88, bromobenzene, CCl4, carbon tetrachloride (doses in mg/kg); P < .05.
detected. A similar phenomenon could be occurring in our study.

The protein encoded by Tgfb1 stimulates expression of tissue-plasminogen activator inhibitor (encoded by tissue plasminogen [Plat]) and urokinase plasminogen activator (encoded by Plau) (Figure 11). Plasminogen orchestrates degradation of ECM components. In the early stages of fibrosis, ECM degradation counterbalances production to repair hepatic injury, possibly accounting for a compensatory upregulation of promoters and inhibitors of ECM production.

Upregulation of both positive and negative regulators of ECM deposition and degradation suggests an increase in tissue remodeling consistent with the early stages of fibrogenesis, when repair and return to homeostasis are counterbalanced with irreversible tissue scarring. The 5-day exposure interval in this study and the observation of the fibrosis and/or early-stage fibrogenic injury is consistent with early injury (Ghosh and Vaughan, 2012). For example, ECM production is counterbalanced by the matrix metalloproteinases encoded by Mmp2 and Mmp9 and their negative regulators, the tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) (Kim et al., 2010; Van Wettere et al., 2013). Timp1 (but not Mmp9) was differentially expressed in this study, a pattern consistent with bias toward early stage, profibrogenic balance. ECM deposition is tightly regulated by the tissue plasminogen and urokinase plasminogen family of proteins (Plat and Plau) (Croucher et al., 2008; Sokolovic et al., 2013) and their negative regulator, the serpin family plasminogen activator inhibitors (see Serpine1 and Serping1).

FIG. 11. Summary of differentially expressed genes by putative classification in the fibrogenic gene signature panels in the context of the current fibrosis adverse outcome pathway (Vinken, 2013). Red, upregulated > 1.5-fold (Bioplex data); green, downregulated > 1.5-fold; ECM, extracellular matrix; *results reported in the literature at later stages in disease progression with overt fibrosis and/or cirrhosis phenotypes. Full color version available online.

ECM-associated genes are elevated in rodent models of compound toxicity and/or in vitro models of hepatic stellate cell activation, including the following fibrogenic signature panel genes: (1) Col1a1 and Col4a1, genes encoding collagen isoforms (Chen et al., 2012); (2) Plau (Collazos and Diaz, 1994; Molleken et al., 2009; Rosenberg et al., 2004); (3) Arpc1b, encoding a regulator of actin polymerization (Locatelli et al., 2014); (4) Anxa2, a gene associated with fibril formation and fibrinolysis (Locatelli et al., 2014); (5) Lox, encoding an enzyme that mediates collagen cross-linking in early fibrosis (Perepelyuk et al., 2013); (6) Tgln2 (transgelin 2), encoding an ECM synthesis protein (Molleken et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2008); and (7) Vtn (vitronectin), encoding a protein serving as a molecular link with the surrounding collagen (Preissner, 1991) (Figure 11). The downregulation of Vtn reported here contrasts with reports of Vtn elevation in late-stage fibrosis (Koukoulis et al., 2001). Similarly, our study found no change in Col5a2 or Fstl1, regulators of Col1a1 and Col2a1. Protein products of Vtn and Plau were upregulated in the plasma in our study.

Genes regulating the production of myofibroblasts orchestrate epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, including genes encoding vimentin (Vim) and the S100 calcium binding proteins (S100A11 and -A6) (Xie et al., 2009; Zhai et al., 2014). The calcium binding proteins are associated with liver fibrosis and are targets of the protein encoded by Tgfb1 (Bjornland et al., 1999;
Inflammatory signaling, chemotaxis. Genes encoding adhesion proteins and related mediators of chemotaxis were differentially expressed in response to fibrogenic chemicals, including Igα1 (Huang and Brigstock, 2011); Fbn1 (Dubuisson et al., 2001; Lorena et al., 2004); Lams2 and its regulator Lcn2 (Ngaj), a marker of inflammatory injury and chemotaxis in multiple model systems (Brenner, 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2012; Seki and Brenner, 2008); and Vim, encoding the inflammatory protein VIM (Vasiliadis et al., 2012). Acute phase proteins associated with hepatotoxicity in animal models include C1qb, encoding complement component (Cao et al., 2001); Cp, encoding the copper-binding ceruloplasmin (negatively correlated with hepatitis B-induced cirrhosis (van Cool et al., 1986); Gprmb, encoding the protein involved in upregulation of restorative macrophages but not profibrotic macrophages (Li et al., 2010), genes encoding the neutrophil-associated chemokines Cxcl1, Cxcl16, and Ccl2 (Wald et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2004); Cdh9 and Cdh53, genes encoding tetraspan family members associated with hepatic stellate cell migration (Mazzocca et al., 2002; Pinzani and Rombouts, 2004); and the gene encoding the antifibrinolytic protease inhibitor A2m. Plasma protein concentration of A2m is currently part of the FibroSure diagnostic panel used clinically to diagnose steatosis and fibrosis (Rossi et al., 2003). The plasma protein concentration of α2-macroglobulin is elevated in late stage fibrosis; thus, the lack of robust modulation in our study at the gene level is not necessarily inconsistent with the literature. Lcn2 was the most differentially expressed gene in the signature panel. It is rapidly expressed by injured hepatocytes in response to toxic insult, infection, or inflammation. Studies in Lcn2-/- mice suggest that the protein product plays a protective role in returning chemically injured hepatocytes to homeostasis (Borkham-Kamphorst et al., 2013). Cell-cell adhesion markers promote leukocyte infiltration and inflammation at the site of injury, including genes encoding β1 integrin family members Itgb2 (Kulkarni et al., 2011), Lams2 (Leung et al., 2012), and Lgal1 and -3 (Locatelli et al., 2014; Maeda et al., 2003). Interestingly, genes encoding major histocompatibility complex proteins are also reported to be differentially expressed in response to toxic insults in the liver (Rti-dα4, RTI-dma (Jimenez et al., 2002; Peterson et al., 2002). The protein product of Cp was upregulated in the plasma in our study, and interaction analysis specificity for the fibrosis phenotype.

Other. Upregulation of genes encoding contractility proteins such as Pkm2 and Scl25a4 is consistent with energy crisis in fibrotic or necrotic tissue (Chen et al., 2012). Differential regulation of genes in the cytochrome P450 family (Cyba and Cyp2c11) is consistent with alterations in xenobiotic metabolism genes in response to hepatotoxins (Chan et al., 2012). However, the lack of modulation in mitochondrial superoxide 2 (Sod2) is unexpected, given the role of the protein product in mitochondrial oxidative stress (Lee et al., 2013). Differentially upregulated genes without previous literature-based association with fibrotic, cirrhotic, or hepatotoxic injury include Fam102b and Fam105a. Fam102b is an estrogen-responsive gene that modulates osteoclastogenesis in bone remodeling and repair (Choi et al., 2013). The presented research could suggest a novel role for these genes in the pathogenesis of fibrosis and/or bile duct hyperplasia.

In summary, although not all of the genes in the panel have been directly associated with liver fibrosis previously, all genes have been associated to some extent with cirrhotic and/or fibrotic mechanisms or with processes in the cascade of events leading to fibrosis and/or cirrhosis.

**Study Limitations**

This study is limited by the small number of chemicals used to validate the gene signature and the overlap in pathogenic processes as identified using light microscopy: the fibrotic phenotype was comorbid with hepatocellular necrosis and bile duct hyperplasia, although bile duct hyperplasia and fibrosis often occur concurrently, and bile duct hyperplasia may precede fibrosis. Furthermore, the gene signatures were originally based on microscopic diagnosis of fibrosis, a more advanced progression of the formation of scar tissue following cell death. We are currently evaluating the prognostic value of the gene signature panel to diagnose fibrosis before histopathologic lesions are evident (ie, 1 day postexposure). The iTRAQ technique used to identify proteins in the serum and plasma is limited by the inability to detect all possible protein products due to the large dynamic range of proteins in the blood (Anderson and Anderson, 2002). Using novel nondestructive extraction methods, ongoing studies related to this research are evaluating the gene signature panel on tissue directly extracted from the regions of injury compared with uninjured areas within the same tissue slide. Body weight loss of 25% is the minimum threshold for wasting syndrome; animals at the higher dose range have experienced changes in liver metabolism and physiology secondary to pathological weight loss (Viluksela et al., 1997a,b, 1998a,b, 1999). The fibrotic phenotype observed microscopically was used to link the gene responses but the animals dosed with allyl alcohol did not consistently develop fibrosis. Inference of protein expression patterns cannot be directly made from gene expression data. The greatest utility of a diagnostic test is in the expressed proteins or metabolites in an accessible biofluid (eg, plasma, urine, saliva), rather than gene expression in the injured tissue, accessible only by liver biopsy. In one instance (fibronecin), the liver gene, plasma protein, and tissue protein expression data were discordant. We do not have definitive data providing rationale for the difference in direction for the fibronecin precursor at the gene and protein level. However, our data indicate that the expression of fibronecin is elevated in the tissue but reduced relative to controls in the plasma. Plasma fibronecin can be bound and assembled in the tissue (To et al., 2011). It is possible that the lower gene expression in plasma and corresponding increase in the tissue is a result of plasma fibronecin recruitment to the liver tissue. The gene expression data support an increase in expression of liver fibronecin. This supposition is corroborated by the tissue protein data. It is likely that the observed decrease in plasma fibronecin results from increased blood clearance of fibronecin, corresponding to an increase in the tissue.

Despite these limitations, the results of this research allow us to downselect and prioritize candidate targets to develop further in future studies focused on the evaluation of biofluids collected in a longitudinal manner.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This analysis provides support for using genes in signature panels as bridging biomarkers between the molecular mechanisms and histopathology. The fidelity of the gene signatures with predictions suggests the utility of the bioinformatics approach in determining gene expression patterns linked to phenotype. This approach can complement risk assessment in military and
civilian occupational exposure scenarios by identifying patterns of genes common to multiple drugs and toxins that cause the same liver histopathologies. In the future, such an approach could be applied to clinical decisions related to treatment within the framework of personalized medicine. Our data support moving forward with a panel of at least 54 candidates as early indicators of fibrosis for further development into a predictive biomarker panel. Some of these gene candidates have protein products modulated in the plasma or serum, suggesting that further research may refine the gene panels into complementary protein panels for developing minimally invasive screening strategies.
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