



# Article Probing Liver Injuries Induced by Thioacetamide in Human In Vitro Pooled Hepatocyte Experiments

Himanshu Goel <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Richard L. Printz <sup>3,4</sup>, Venkat R. Pannala <sup>1,2</sup>, Mohamed Diwan M. AbdulHameed <sup>1,2</sup> and Anders Wallqvist <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Defense Biotechnology High Performance Computing Software Applications Institute, Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center, U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA; vpannala@bhsai.org (V.R.P.); mabdulhameed@bhsai.org (M.D.M.A.)
- <sup>2</sup> The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD 20817, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; r.printz@vumc.org
- <sup>4</sup> Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA
- \* Correspondence: hgoel@bhsai.org (H.G.); sven.a.wallqvist.civ@health.mil (A.W.); Tel.: +1-(301)-619-1976 (H.G.); +1-(301)-619-1989 (A.W.)

Abstract: Animal studies are typically utilized to understand the complex mechanisms associated with toxicant-induced hepatotoxicity. Among the alternative approaches to animal studies, in vitro pooled human hepatocytes have the potential to capture population variability. Here, we examined the effect of the hepatotoxicant thioacetamide on pooled human hepatocytes, divided into five lots, obtained from forty diverse donors. For 24 h, pooled human hepatocytes were exposed to vehicle, 1.33 mM (low dose), and 12 mM (high dose) thioacetamide, followed by RNA-seq analysis. We assessed gene expression variability using heat maps, correlation plots, and statistical variance. We used KEGG pathways and co-expression modules to identify underlying physiological processes/pathways. The co-expression module analysis showed that the majority of the lots exhibited activation for the bile duct proliferation module. Despite lot-to-lot variability, we identified a set of common differentially expressed genes across the lots with similarities in their response to amino acid, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism. We also examined efflux transporters and found larger lot-to-lot variability in their expression patterns, indicating a potential for alteration in toxicant bioavailability within the cells, which could in turn affect the gene expression patterns between the lots. Overall, our analysis highlights the challenges in using pooled hepatocytes to understand mechanisms of toxicity.

**Keywords:** predictive toxicology; RNA-seq; thioacetamide; in vitro multi-donor pooled hepatocytes; toxicity modules; liver injury; KEGG pathways; toxicogenomics

# 1. Introduction

Exposure to toxic chemicals stemming from environmental conditions, contaminated food, or water can cause health concerns and may lead to acute or long-term injuries. Methods to evaluate toxicant-induced responses and their potential to cause adverse effects range from animal chemical exposure studies to different cell-based in vitro approaches. In vitro experiments provide the possibility of higher throughput investigations as compared to animal experimentation; however, the correlation between the in vivo and in vitro experiments as well as the interspecies correlation between the animal and human injury response remain challenging [1]. Primary animal hepatocytes have frequently been used to study liver function and disease mechanisms, and the primary hepatocyte culture

Citation: Goel, H.; Printz, R.L.; Pannala, V.R.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Wallqvist, A. Probing Liver Injuries Induced by Thioacetamide in Human In Vitro Pooled Hepatocyte Experiments. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, *25*, 3265. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms25063265

Academic Editor: Stefania Bruno

Received: 5 February 2024 Revised: 29 February 2024 Accepted: 8 March 2024 Published: 13 March 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). is recognized as the most relevant in vitro model for studying hepatotoxicity and screening chemicals for drug-induced liver injuries. Primary human hepatocytes are thus considered the gold standard for qualitatively predicting the metabolism, toxicity, and drug interaction profiles of chemicals [2,3]. However, the results derived from human hepatocyte culture studies likely differ based on the demographic, genetic, and environmental characteristics of the donors from which the cells were cultured, as well as the techniques used to preserve the hepatocyte functionality outside of the body [4,5]. Overall, for a given toxicant, it is often challenging to identify common expression patterns from different in vitro studies conducted using hepatocytes cultured from independent donors. Hence, pooled hepatocyte cultures, obtained from multiple donors, offer the opportunity to reduce variability and devise a better workflow for consistently analyzing data from these studies.

The field of toxicogenomics has contributed to the understanding of how gene expression patterns and subsequent affected pathways may characterize the physiological response to drugs and toxicants [6]. A broad range of methods and platforms can map the connectivity between toxicant exposure and the affected genes. These methods enable the identification of molecular mechanisms, relevant biomarkers, phenotypic processes or injuries, and risk assessment profiles for a given toxicant. Our research group has used a variety of approaches to identify significantly expressed genes and their altered expression in order to assess the likely impact of exposure to a variety of toxicants (thioacetamide, acetaminophen, bromobenzene, carbon tetrachloride, and mercuric chloride) [7–12]. In particular, our earlier work on thioacetamide presented thorough toxicogenomic analyses of rat and guinea pig livers and kidneys, as well as of in vitro gene expression data from human hepatocytes [10]. The objective of the current study is to assess the responses of pooled human hepatocytes to thioacetamide exposure. Thioacetamide, which is known to cause liver injury, is often used as a model hepatotoxicant in a variety of experimental studies. Although previous studies have provided key insights, additional analyses of multi-donor pooled hepatocytes for liver injury are needed to validate the study outcomes and characterize toxicity-induced responses using toxicogenomic approaches.

Here, we sought to investigate the gene expression responses from the impact of thioacetamide exposure using RNA-seq data from multiple lots of pooled human hepatocytes. We evaluated five individual lots of pooled hepatocytes, and also evaluated the lots merged together. Each of the five lots contained primary hepatocytes that were obtained from either five or ten individual donors. The advantage of pooling hepatocytes from different donors is to minimize the variation that may arise from a comparison of hepatocytes derived from a single donor, thereby improving the predictability of gene expression outcomes. Thus, the current study aimed to analyze uniformity and variability in pooled hepatocyte cultures using different toxicogenomic approaches based on the information from gene level expression data, KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways, and toxicity co-expression modules. Our multi-faceted approach will help elucidate the utility of different tools to express similarities and differences in pooled hepatocyte research. In addition, we analyzed the pattern for the key drug metabolism cytochrome P450 enzymes and hepatocyte transporters from the ABC and SLC families to demonstrate pooled hepatocyte lot-to-lot variability and uniformity. Some genes consistently showed up- or down-regulation patterns, such as ATP8B1, ABCC2, CYP26A1, CYP26B1, CYP2C9, and CYP3A4/5; however, a number of genes, such as ABCB1, ABCC4, SLC22A7, and ABCB4, showed significant fluctuation and opposite patterns across different lots.

## 2. Results and Discussion

# 2.1. Dose Optimization for Thioacetamide Exposure

To determine the dose of thioacetamide and the length of exposure needed to cause a detectable level of cell injury in a pool of primary human hepatocytes derived from multiple donors, we carried out a time-course/dose–response study using the two different following methods to detect injury: (1) we quantified intracellular ATP levels, and (2) we quantified lactate dehydrogenase activity in the media. Measuring cell viability based on metabolic activity, i.e., ATP levels, provided quality data for the determination of the optimal thioacetamide dose and the length of exposure with minimal variation among treatment replicates (see Figures S1–S3).

Figure S1 illustrates the time-course study to determine the amount of thioacetamide exposure needed to induce detectable cell injury in the pooled primary human hepatocytes. We tested eight doses (0, 0.049, 0.148, 0.444, 1.333, 4, 12, and 36 mM) with six different lengths of exposure (4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 h) for a total of 48 conditions. We determined the minimum time to respond for each dose and observed a near-maximal reduction in cell viability occurring as early as 4 h, reaching a maximum by 16 h, and maintaining this maximum through 24 h. Figures S2 and S3 quantify cell viability versus the thioacetamide dose at 24 and 4 h of exposure, respectively. Figure S2 illustrates a dose-dependent decline in cell viability following thioacetamide treatment for 24 h, with doses ranging from 0.049 to 36 mM, as compared to a smaller reduction in cell viability after 4 h of exposure, shown in Figure S3. We determined that cell viability was dependent on exposure time and concluded that a 24 h exposure to thioacetamide was optimal for both a low dose (1.33 mM), which caused minimal cell injury, and a high dose (12 mM), which resulted in an easily detectable cell injury.

The second method used to measure cell viability, which assessed cell membrane integrity by measuring the activity of lactate dehydrogenase released into the culture medium after the thioacetamide treatment (see Figure S4), did not yield high-quality data, but did yield results that agreed with those obtained with the metabolic activity-based assay. Figure S4 shows a dose-dependent increase in lactate dehydrogenase activity in the culture medium after 24 h of exposure to various doses of thioacetamide, which indicates a reduction in cell membrane integrity and cell viability as the concentration of thioacetamide increased from 0.049 to 36 mM. These results support the conclusions regarding the optimal time and doses of thioacetamide needed to induce cell injury in pooled primary human hepatocytes.

In addition to these preliminary tests, we assessed the efficacy of the low- and highdose thioacetamide treatments by performing a cell viability assay on the same five lots of pooled primary human hepatocytes utilized for the isolation of RNA for our RNA-seq investigation of the gene expression responses following the thioacetamide exposure of five different lots of pooled human hepatocytes, labeled lots A–E. Lots A and B each consisted of a pool of human hepatocytes from five different donors, whereas each of the other lots consisted of a pool of hepatocytes derived from ten different donors. Figure 1 shows the cell viability assay results, which suggested a 2% reduction in viability with the low-dose (1.33 mM) treatment and a highly significant 33% reduction in viability with the high-dose (12 mM) treatment. Each lot of pooled hepatocytes responded similarly to 24 h of the thioacetamide treatment, thus suggesting that the cells treated for the isolation of RNA would likely have only a slight injury at the low dose and a significant injury at the high dose of thioacetamide. Given the outcomes of both doses, we focused our RNA-seq analysis on the cells exposed to the high dose of thioacetamide due to the detection of a significant reduction in cell viability as compared to the lower dose.



**Figure 1.** Measurement of cell viability for each lot of pooled human hepatocytes subjected to three different treatment conditions [one control and two doses of thioacetamide (TA)]. Lots A and B each contained pooled hepatocytes from five different donors, whereas each of the other lots are pools of hepatocytes derived from ten different donors. The star (\*\*\*\*) symbol indicates *p*-values less than 0.05.

#### 2.2. Gene-Level Analysis

The RNA-seq data provide the individual gene expression responses induced by the toxicant exposure. Table 1 indicates in bold text the number of expressed genes whose expression was altered significantly after different lots (A-E) of pooled primary human hepatocytes were exposed for 24 h to thioacetamide at a dose of 12 mM. In addition, the number of differentially expressed genes found to be common between the different lots of pooled primary human hepatocytes are indicated in Table 1 as plain text. We identified a large lot-to-lot variability in the number of significantly altered genes, ranging from 2241 to 3521. This large variability highlights the variation seen across different lots of cells derived from multiple donors. However, the presence of a large number of gene overlaps between different lots also highlights the presence of similarities among genes affected by the thioacetamide toxicant. Additionally, we compared the differentially expressed genes across all lots and found 816 common genes (Figure 2). Identifying these overlapping genes allowed us to focus on the commonality of the involvement of these genes and their potential role in liver function and injury. Table 2 shows the top 10 up- and down-regulated genes from the 816 common gene set based on the average fold-change values from the lots. The down-regulation of the top two genes, CYP26B1 and CYP26A1, is noteworthy because they are largely responsible for retinoic acid (RA) clearance in the liver, as it is metabolized to 1-oxo-RA and 4-OH-RA by these enzymes [13]. When rats were given thioacetamide, there was an observed rise in RA and a corresponding decrease in the activity of enzymes involved in retinoid metabolism [14]. Particularly, mRNA expression studies have examined CYP26A1 mRNA isoforms in the human liver as a major contributor to RA clearance in the liver [15–17]. The metabolism of retinoid acid catabolism in the body is usually attributed to both of these genes [18,19]. Additionally, both of these genes have been highlighted in the work of Guo et al. [20], and are the most variable expressed genes among multiple donors [20]. On the other hand, the top two up-regulated genes, C2CD4B and C2CD4A, have multiple functional associations but are largely involved in the regulation of the inflammatory response [21]. The SPP1 and THRSP genes have also been shown to be among the top 10 differentially expressed genes, in line with our previous research using thioacetamide liver injection in guinea pigs and rats [11]. In fact, *SPP1* is an important biomarker for fibrosis [22]. *FGF21* is another significant gene, and according to recent research, it plays a significant role in lipid metabolism and reduces hepatocyte damage [23–27]. Moreover, the activation of several of these genes, such as *SPP1*, *THRSP*, *SLCO4C1*, *SERPINA1*, and *DDAH2*, is well known and associated with liver injuries [28–32]. Additional up- or down-regulated genes in Table 2 may be possible markers for early stage liver injury.

<b>Table 1.</b> Overlap of significantly altered differentially expressed genes (q < 0.1) found in human in
vitro pooled hepatocyte data across five lots (A–E) for thioacetamide exposure.

Overlap	12 mM Thioacetamide						
Lots	А	В	С	D	Е		
A	2821	1631	1542	1302	1609		
В		3128	1970	1600	1567		
С			3521	1548	1444		
D				2241	1275		
Е					2347		



**Figure 2.** Venn diagram depicting the common differentially expressed genes across different lots (A–E) of in vitro pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide (12 mM) treatment.

**Table 2.** Top 10 down- and up-regulated differentially expressed genes from the 816 common genes across all lots of pooled human hepatocyte and their average fold-change (FC) in expression and standard deviation (SD).

Gene (Down)	log <sub>2</sub> (FC)	SD	Gene (Up)	log <sub>2</sub> (FC)	SD
СҮР26В1	-4.50	1.66	C2CD4B	2.42	0.39
CYP26A1	-3.75	2.00	C2CD4A	2.40	0.27
IFNGR1	-2.53	3.03	SERPINA1	2.32	4.92
MCM10	-2.15	0.78	DDAH2	2.08	2.17
STEAP4	-1.95	0.62	THRSP	1.85	0.52
GNAO1	-1.75	1.74	FGF21	1.81	0.22
SPP1	-1.44	0.28	PIWIL2	1.35	0.37

LPCAT1	-1.44	1.12	FUT1	1.32	0.23
DTL	-1.41	0.18	SNORC	1.26	0.08
WDR76	-1.33	0.23	SLCO4C1	1.21	0.35

Furthermore, Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the heat maps, correlation plots, and standard deviation (SD) histogram plots for all the genes, as well as the common 816 genes for each lot and the merged set. The merged column in the figures represents the average of the fold-change values of all five lots of primary human hepatocytes. Visualizing the expression of all the genes through heat maps and a triangle correlation matrix (Figure 3), one can observe relatively lower correlation scores (<0.20) from lot to lot, with some improvement (between 0.20 to 0.40) when compared to the merged dataset. The SD values were also slightly higher for several genes and were largely evenly distributed. We expected the lower correlation scores and larger SD values due to the involvement of roughly 15,000 genes and the wide demographic diversity of the donor hepatocytes. On the other hand, the heat map for the common 816 genes (Figure 4) is more clustered and homogeneous in up- and down-regulated gene expression profiles. Quantitatively, this can be observed in the higher correlation scores, varying from 0.55 to 0.89 between the different lots. Likewise, the SD histogram plot values for the common 816 genes (Figure 4) are below 0.50 for 95% of the genes. Overall, the lower SD values and strong correlation scores are encouraging and build confidence in the shared differentially expressed genes among the different lots. All the genes and their fold-change values from each lot and the merged lots can be found in the Supplementary Material (Tables S1 and S2).



**Figure 3.** Heat map, correlation plot, and standard deviation histogram plot of all the genes obtained from each lot of pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set. The fold-change values ranging from -0.6 to 0.6 are shown in beige on the heat map. Significantly lower and higher values are shown in red and green, respectively.



**Figure 4.** Heat map, correlation plot, and standard deviation histogram plot for the common 816 genes obtained from each lot of pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set. The fold-change values ranging from –0.6 to 0.6 are shown in beige on the heat map. Significantly lower and higher values are shown in red and green, respectively.

# 2.3. KEGG Pathway Analysis

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis is commonly used in bioinformatics to understand the biological function, signaling pathways, inflammatory responses, and molecular mechanisms based on the co-expressed genes list. Table 3 shows the 15 most enriched KEGG pathways that are common in all the lots for the thioacetamide experiments, with steroid biosynthesis at the top of the list. Our pathway analysis shows that most of the overlapping genes are associated with lipid, amino acid, carbohydrate, terpenoid, and polyketide metabolism. Lipid molecules are crucial in metabolic processes, and their alteration can lead to liver disease. As the liver is a site for the elimination of toxic metabolites, the peroxisome is involved in the biosynthesis of bile acids [33–35]. The p53 signaling pathway is also associated with liver inflammation, fibrosis, and steatosis, and its effect on the liver changes substantially at different stages of liver injury [36]. Furthermore, several other pathways listed in Table 3, such as tight junction and ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes, are also reported to be associated with liver disease [37–39]. Collectively, these pathways show the potential impact of thioacetamide on the liver and how this can affect most of the metabolic pathways, leading to liver disorders.

**Table 3.** KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways enriched in overlapping differentially expressed genes obtained from different lots of pooled primary hepatocytes in response to thioacetamide treatment.

KEGG Pathway (HD, 24 h)	Benjamini <i>p</i> -Value
Steroid biosynthesis	$9.4 \times 10^{-6}$
Peroxisome	6.5 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>

Metabolic pathways	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$
Carbon metabolism	$4.8 \times 10^{-3}$
Steroid hormone biosynthesis	8.4 × 10-3
Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	9.2 × 10⁻³
Glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$
Biosynthesis of cofactors	1.2 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
 Tight junction	$1.6 \times 10^{-2}$
p53 signaling pathway	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$
Biosynthesis of amino acids	$2.4 \times 10^{-2}$
Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis	$3.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes	$5.0 \times 10^{-2}$
Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	$7.0 \times 10^{-2}$
DNA replication	$7.0 \times 10^{-2}$

Additionally, Figure 5 shows the heat map, triangular correlation plot, and SD histogram plot for the KEGG pathways of all the genes for each lot and the merged set. Similarly, Figure 6 depicts the heat map, triangular correlation plot, and SD histogram plot for the KEGG pathways of the common 816 genes and their corresponding fold-change values for each lot and the merged set. The merged column in Figures 5 and 6 represents the averaged z-score values of all five lots. The heat maps of the up- and down-regulated pathways, estimated with TOXPANEL version 1.0 [40], are shown in red and green, respectively. We provide detailed information for all KEGG pathways as well as their calculated scores for Figures 5 and 6 in the Supplementary Material (Tables S3 and S4). The heat maps created using the common genes show grouping of up- or down-regulated pathways and are more structured when compared to the pathway heat maps using all the genes. The majority of the metabolic pathways consistently exhibit up-regulation on the heat maps, while the signaling and cellular pathways exhibit down-regulation. In the following paragraph, we describe in depth the functional behavior of different pathways. The KEGG pathway correlation scores and the SD variations improved significantly in the pathways computed using the common 816 genes as compared to the results obtained from all the genes. The correlation scores between lots were below 0.40; these also improved (between 0.40 and 0.60) when linked with the merged lot. On the other hand, the correlation scores ranged from 0.40 to 0.80 for pathways obtained using the common genes. Likewise, 80% of the pathways had SD statistics below 1 using the common genes as compared to 50% when considering all the genes. In summary, the KEGG pathways using common differentially expressed genes revealed a consistent pattern of pathways underlying liver injury mechanisms.



**Figure 5.** Heat map, correlation plot, and standard deviation histogram plot for the KEGG pathways using all the genes obtained from each individual lot of pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set. The z-score values ranging from –0.6 to 0.6 are shown in beige on the heat map. Significantly up- and down-regulated pathways are indicated in red and green, respectively.



Figure 6. Heat map, correlation plot, and standard deviation histogram plot for the KEGG pathways using the common 816 genes obtained from each individual lot of pooled human hepatocytes

exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set. The z-score values ranging from -0.6 to 0.6 are shown in beige on the heat map. Significantly up- and down-regulated pathways are indicated in red and green, respectively.

A range of metabolic and signaling pathways as well as several cellular and inflammation processes are involved in the development of liver injury. Because of the diversity in pooled hepatocyte data, it is of the utmost importance to identify shared processes and pathways in order to better understand the molecular mechanisms. Figure 7 illustrates all the significant KEGG pathways identified in the in vitro pooled hepatocytes by employing the common 816 genes from all the individual lots and the merged set. We have categorized different pathways into groups, i.e., Carbohydrate/Lipid/Amino acid/Other Metabolism, Signaling, Cellular, and Miscellaneous. Every relevant pathway that is up-regulated or down-regulated can be identified by a color-coded z-score which corresponds to each lot. We found that thioacetamide exposure in pooled hepatocytes altered several metabolic, signaling, and cellular response pathways. Figure 7 shows a substantial elevation in important metabolic pathways associated with lipid, amino acid, carbohydrate, and other metabolisms. Specifically, all lots exhibited elevated z-scores in the lipid metabolic pathways involving arachidonic acid metabolism, terpenoid backbone biosynthesis, and steroid biosynthesis. The enrichment analysis also demonstrated the importance of the metabolic pathways and the production of terpenoid backbone and steroids biosynthesis pathways. We observed very similar responses when we exposed the primary hepatocyte cells in our earlier in vitro human cells study to thioacetamide-S-oxide, a metabolite form of thioacetamide [10]. Furthermore, our group's other toxicity and metabolomics studies involving thioacetamide-induced injury in guinea pigs and rats demonstrated up-regulated fold-change values for the metabolism of lipids and amino acids [11].

Several studies in the literature indicate that thioacetamide treatment also activates the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway in liver tissue, and our data demonstrate significant alterations in this pathway in multiple lots as well [41]. We observed a substantial down-regulation of the apelin, hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1, and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-protein kinase B (AKT) signaling pathways, in addition to extracellular matrix (ECM)–receptor interactions. Our results show a considerable change in the alteration of ECM interactions, which has been linked to the imbalance and impairment of liver function upon treatment with thioacetamide [42,43]. Liver disease progression is known to be related to HIF, PI3K-AKT, and apelin pathways, which are known to participate in different cell activations of inflammation and proliferation [44– 46]. A number of other cellular and miscellaneous pathways also showed consistent down-regulation trends across all the lots after thioacetamide exposure. These pathways include cell cycle, oocyte meiosis, focal adhesion and cellular senescence, DNA replication, base excision repair, and progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation.

Mechanism	Pathways	А	В	С	D	Е	Merge
Metabolism (carbohydrate)	Propanoate metabolism	1.44	1.14	1.12	1.78		1.27
	Butanoate metabolism	1.31	2.92	1.59	1.43	1.07	1.97
	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)		1.13		0.34	1.01	1.14
	Pyruvate metabolism	1.60	1.05	1.06	1.53		1.35
	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism		1.23		1.13		0.69
	Pentose phosphate pathway		-1.01	-1.34			-1.88
	Pentose and glucuronate interconversions		-1.03	-2.61			-1.41
	Fatty acid degradation	1.12	3.55	1.17	1.00		1.84
Metabolism (lipid)	Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis	1.69	3.67	2.40	3.17	1.70	3.34
()	Steroid biosynthesis	2.19	4.53	2.17	3.44	2.94	4.37
	Arachidonic acid metabolism	1.91	1.06	1.38	1.16	1.02	2.20
	Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	1.71	2.63	1.50	1.65	1.40	2.20
	alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism		2.33	2.06	1.32	1.33	1.96
	Linoleic acid metabolism	1.95	2.55	1.20	1.07	1.55	2.10
		1.93	3.67	1.20	1.07		1.23
	Fatty acid biosynthesis					1.10	
	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	1.28	1.31	1.22	_	1.10	1.88
Metabolism (amino acid)	Tryptophan metabolism	1.44	1.62	1.32	1.40	1.00	1.41
	Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation	1.51	2.59	1.48	1.40	1.00	1.88
	Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	-1.21	-1.13				-1.80
	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	-1.01	-1.45			-1.60	
	Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis	-1.03	-1.54		-1.16	-1.65	-1.78
	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	-1.14		-2.88	-1.58		
Metabolism (other)	Metabolic pathways	1.44	3.99	2.03	1.70	1.89	3.67
	Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - globo and isoglobo series	1.21		2.11	1.50	1.82	1.57
	Glycosaminoglycan degradation			2.18			1.40
	Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450					1.12	1.37
	Retinol metabolism	-2.71		-2.41	-3.31	-1.08	
	Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism	-1.65		-1.38	-1.38		-1.46
Signaling	mTOR signaling pathway		1.22		1.01	1.85	1.62
	MAPK signaling pathway		1.26		2.08	2.67	2.55
	Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction			1.26	1.67	1.30	1.74
	Jak-STAT signaling pathway	-1.50	1.65			-1.14	
	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	-1.11		-1.53	-1.15	-2.05	-2.81
	ECM-receptor interaction	-1.28	-2.38	-2.04	-2.55	-2.93	-5.08
	Apelin signaling pathway	-1.93	-1.09	-1.83	-1.54		-2.06
	HIF-1 signaling pathway	-2.67		-2.72	-1.20	-1.68	-1.58
Cell	Ferroptosis	1.64	1.47		1.54	1.65	2.10
	Peroxisome	1.25	3.17	1.35			1.67
	Cell cycle	-1.90	-1.74	-2.94	-3.00	-2.71	-3.92
	Oocyte meiosis	-2.08	-1.37	-1.73	-2.02	-2.26	-2.46
	Focal adhesion	-1.11	-1.86	-2.14	-2.41	-1.84	-4.57
	Cellular senescence	-1.50	-1.35	-1.13	-2.09	-1.90	-2.51
	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton			-1.71	-1.67		-2.48
	DNA replication			-3.38	-2.25	-1.85	-2.97
	Tight junction		-1.09	-1.36			-1.96
Miscellaneous	Ovarian steroidogenesis	1.67	2.20	2.57	1.31		1.97
	Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	-2.06	-1.41	-1.70	-2.19	-1.99	-2.54
	Prolactin signaling pathway	-4.35			-1.08	-1.04	-1.14
	Thyroid hormone signaling pathway	-3.53			-1.47	-1.14	-1.49
	Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes			-1.55	-1.25		-2.16
	Spliceosome	-1.35	-1.41		-1.95		-2.46
	Base excision repair	-2.40	-1.05	-1.93			-2.00
	Mismatch repair			-2.93	-1.39		-1.65
	Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis	-1.22				-1.36	-1.61

**Figure 7.** KEGG pathways activated using gene expression data from each individual lot of pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set. Significantly up- and down-regulated pathways are indicated in red and green, respectively.

## 2.4. Liver Injury Module Analysis

Our research group has formulated eight kidney injury and eleven liver injury modules involving several sets of genes linked with histopathological injury phenotypes [40]. Each module indicates a set of co-expressed genes representing a well-defined histopathological injury endpoint. This analysis is available via the TOXPANEL software [40]. We analyzed the responses of these co-expressed genes to assess the impact of liver injury in different lots. Figure 8 shows the heat map for liver injury module scores in all the lots after exposure, as well as the correlation scores and SD histogram. We observed weaker activation for most of the liver injury modules and large variability in the fold-changebased z-score metric. We mainly identified the activation of bile duct proliferation and anisonucleosis injury modules in some of the lots following thioacetamide exposure. Other modules only occasionally showed activation in one of the lots. The activation of the bile duct proliferation module is commonly associated with a ductular reaction and results in acute or end-stage chronic liver disorders in patients and animal models [47-50]. We also observed weaker activation scores for all the modules in our previous study of the thioacetamide-S-oxide treatment of human single-donor primary hepatocytes [10]. While the earlier study involved a toxicant in the form of a metabolite of thioacetamide and the dosage was different from this study, the pattern of lesser activation scores was still visible. Notably, the bile duct proliferation module was also activated in the human single-donor primary hepatocyte study [10]. In our earlier work, we established an appropriate threshold value of 2 for the z-score metric in order to classify the degree of activation for a module representing a significant indication of injury [40]. However, we saw weaker z-scores in the case of in vitro single-donor human primary or pooled hepatocytes when compared to other in vivo or in vitro animal experiments. The inter-lot correlation also produced lower correlation scores (below 0.2) due to the excessive variation of the module's z-scores, with a few exceptions. Lot comparisons A to C and A to D showed correlation scores of 0.50 and 0.30, respectively. The SD histogram also reflected large SD values, given the weaker z-scores for most of the liver injury modules. We provide all the z-score values for all the modules in the Supplementary Material (Table S5). Furthermore, to understand the variations in the lots, we analyzed three different liver toxicity gene sets that contained co-expression genes associated with liver toxicity. Table 4 shows the performance of the predictive toxicogenomics space (PTGS) (all) [51], PTGS (core) [51], and toxicity module gene (TMG) [52,53] for all the lots subjected to the thioacetamide exposure. For each feature set, we calculated the absolute average fold-change values of the co-expressed genes belonging to each gene set. The variability among the different lots ranged from 0.75 to 0.94 for PTGS (all), 0.71 to 0.89 for PTGS (core), and 0.68 to 0.83 for TMG. The average (SD) values for each gene set's scores across all the lots were 0.84(0.07), 0.80(0.06), and 0.75(0.06) for PTGS (all), PTGS (core), and TMG, respectively. We found an overall variability of ~10% for each gene set across the different lots, which derives from the differences in pooled cultures found between the lots.



**Figure 8.** Heat map, correlation plot, and standard deviation histogram plot (for lots A to E) for liver injury modules obtained using the gene expression data from each individual lot of pooled human hepatocytes exposed to thioacetamide as well as the merged set.

Lata	G	ene Level Feature Sets	
Lots	PTGS (All)	PTGS (Core)	TMG
А	0.75	0.71	0.68
В	0.80	0.78	0.70
С	0.94	0.89	0.83
D	0.88	0.80	0.79
E	0.85	0.81	0.74

Table 4. Liver toxicity prediction model performance across all lots for the thioacetamide treatment.

PTGS: Predictive toxicogenomics space; TMG: toxicity module gene.

## 2.5. Hepatocyte Transporters and Cytochrome P450 Enzymes

The primary organ in charge of metabolism is the liver, where transporters play a role in managing uptake and efflux. Alterations in hepatocyte transporters and cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes can contribute to liver injury. Table 5 shows the main hepatocyte transporters from the ABC and SLC families that exhibited substantial fold-change values in one or more lots, as well as the average and SD values. The basolateral uptake transporter OATPs (organic anion-transporting polypeptides), OATs (organic anion transporters), and OCTs (organic cation transporters) are most frequently expressed in the liver and mediate the bidirectional transport of a wide variety of substances and molecules [31,54,55]. The basolateral transporter genes *SLCO1B3*, *SLCO2B1*, *SLC22A7*, and *SLC22A1* exhibited both up- and down-regulated patterns from various lots, as well as significant fluctuations. OAT7 (*SLC22A9*) displayed fluctuations and indicated the constant up-regulation of the fold-change values across all the lots. Additionally, MRP3 and MRP4 are basolateral efflux transporters that transport large sets of endogenous and xenobiotic organic anions [54]. Both transporters showed substantial variations from lot to lot, and no inference can be made.

Bile acids and their salts are toxic to hepatocytes; hence, they must be effectively eliminated. The MDR3 transporter (phosphatidylcholine floppase, ABCB4) and P4-ATPase (ATP8B1) genes are ATP-binding cassette members and are crucial for the efflux of bile's constituents [31,54–57]. We observed large fluctuations and an inconsistent pattern of upor down-regulation for the MDR3 transporter across different lots. ATP8B1, another important hepatocyte transporter for the production of bile, prevents bile salt toxicity and functions as a phosphatidylserine translocase or flippase [58]. Table 5 shows a steady down-regulation pattern for this gene across all the lots. A deficiency of the ATP8B1 transporter could result in irregular lipid composition in the membrane bilayer, causing bile acids to affect the function of membrane proteins and the bile salt export pump [58–60]. A loss of function of the ATP8B1 gene could manifest clinically as progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis type 1 (PFIC1), also known as Byler's disease [58-60]. Furthermore, other canalicular efflux transporters, such as P-glycoprotein (Pgp, gene symbol ABCB1), breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, gene symbol ABCG2), and multidrug resistanceassociated protein 2 (MRP2, gene symbol ABCC2), are primarily responsible for multidrug resistance and eliminating bile from the hepatocytes [31,54,55]. All of the lots showed consistent up-regulated patterns for ABCC2 and ABCG2; however, none of the lots had substantial fold-change values. We also saw a similar pattern with Pgp (ABCB1), with the exception of one lot, and lot E reported a fold-change value of 5.27. Additional SLC transporters (SLC16A11 and SLC16A13), which mediate the influx and efflux of ions, nucleotides, and sugars, showed the consistent up-regulation of fold-change values and have been linked to liver injury [32].

**Table 5.** Fold-change values for the key hepatocyte transporters and corresponding genes from different lots after thioacetamide exposure. The up- and down-regulated fold-change values are indicated in red and green, respectively. SD, standard deviation.

Drug Transporters	Names	Gene Symbol	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Avg.	SD
	OATP1B3	SLCO1B3	0.37	-0.10	-0.33	-0.34	1.27	0.17	0.68
Basolateral or	OATP2B1	SLCO2B1	0.76	0.29	1.19	0.58	-2.49	0.07	1.46
sinusoidal uptake	OAT2	SLC22A7	2.56	-1.88	-3.97	-1.59	4.25	-0.13	3.40
transporters	OAT7	SLC22A9	0.08	0.67	0.86	0.45	0.14	0.44	0.33
	OCT1	SLC22A1	0.22	-1.52	0.23	3.32	0.21	0.49	1.75
Basolateral efflux	MRP3	ABCC3	-0.24	0.63	1.45	-0.65	0.97	0.43	0.86
transporters	MRP4	ABCC4	0.24	-1.81	-1.57	2.19	0.37	-0.11	1.63
	MRP2 *	ABCC2	0.24	0.37	0.25	0.32	0.30	0.30	0.06
Con ali aular officer	FIC1 *	ATP8B1	-0.22	-0.36	-0.55	-0.49	-0.16	-0.36	0.17
Canalicular efflux	BCRP *	ABCG2	0.25	0.44	0.14	0.18	0.27	0.26	0.12
transporters	Pgp (MDR1)	ABCB1	0.20	-0.63	0.90	0.14	5.27	1.18	2.35
	MDR3	ABCB4	-0.41	0.37	0.85	-2.17	-0.48	-0.37	1.15
CI C transmortore		SLC16A11	3.94	0.64	3.61	0.66	1.85	2.14	1.58
SLC transporters		SLC16A13	0.44	0.78	0.93	1.08	0.52	0.75	0.27

The star (\*) symbol entries do not show significant fold-change values but show a consistent pattern across all lots.

The CYP family, which is largely expressed in the liver, is involved in the metabolism of drugs, chemicals, and endogenous substrates, and is crucial for the removal of various substances from the bloodstream [61,62]. Table 6 lists the prominent CYP enzymes from various lots, as well as their average and SD fold-change values. Except for a small number that displayed an opposite inclination, the majority of the major CYP enzymes showed a consistent pattern of up- or down-regulation throughout the various lots. The major CYP isoforms, namely, *CYP2C9*, *CYP2C19*, and *CYP3A4/5*, have the highest impact on drug metabolism and showed consistent up-regulation [63]. In particular, as described above, *CYP26A1* and *CYP26B1* had fold-change activities with a greater degree of down-

regulation than any other enzymes. These CYP enzymes, thought to be involved in alltrans RA clearance, are members of the CYP26 family, including CYP26A1 and CYP26B1 [17,64]. Targeting RA signaling has been shown to be beneficial for humans, and numerous studies have demonstrated a relationship between the imbalance of RA and various liver diseases [13,15,16,65–69]. The CYP1A1 enzyme plays a significant role in the conversion of procarcinogens into reactive metabolites and displayed a stronger up-regulation in four out of the five lots. The CYP2E1 enzymes, which cause oxidative stress and encourage the development of liver injury, also showed a less pronounced but persistently up-regulated pattern [70–72]. The CYP2E1 enzymes are also involved in the biotransformation of thioacetamide to thioacetamide-S-oxide/S-dioxide [42,73]. Many published studies have explicitly shown an inter-individual variability pattern for major drug-metabolizing CYP enzymes among different donors [63,74–76]. These results imply a heterogeneity of CYP expression across various donor liver pools. The CYP enzymes go through a complicated process that might differ greatly from donor to donor in terms of their expressions and mechanisms, and genetic testing may help clinicians diagnose and assess liver injury. Overall, it is essential to monitor changes in transporters and CYP enzymes in order to detect early indications of liver injury.

**Table 6.** Fold-change values for the key drug metabolism cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes from different lots after thioacetamide exposure. The up- and down-regulated fold-change values are indicated in red and green, respectively. SD, standard deviation.

Gene Symbol	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Avg.	SD
CYP2C9	0.33	0.36	1.16	0.90	0.14	0.58	0.43
СҮРЗА4	0.51	2.11	1.22	0.86	0.35	1.01	0.70
СҮРЗА5	1.05	0.87	0.87	0.91	0.90	0.92	0.07
CYP26A1	-2.20	-7.22	-2.66	-3.33	-3.37	-3.75	2.00
СҮР26В1	-2.98	-5.48	-4.07	-3.10	-6.86	-4.50	1.66
CYP1A1	1.02	2.02	2.86	2.89	-1.46	1.46	1.81
CYP1A2	-0.20	0.68	0.14	0.60	-0.12	0.22	0.40
CYP2C19	0.27	0.33	0.85	0.69	0.82	0.59	0.27
CYP2C8	-0.63	-0.29	-0.28	3.45	-0.38	0.37	1.73
CYP2E1	0.31	0.23	0.28	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.04

## 3. Materials and Methods

## 3.1. Chemicals and Reagents

We obtained multi-donor pools of plateable cryopreserved human hepatocytes (HPCH05+ and HPCH10+) in five different lots (2110283, 1810126, 1810050, 2010236, and 2210146) from a commercial vendor (Sekisui XenoTech, Kansas City, KS, USA), along with OptiThaw Hepatocyte Kit (K8000), OptiPlate Hepatocyte Media (K8200), and OptiCulture Hepatocyte Media (K8300) for culturing the hepatocytes. We secured Corning BioCoat 96-well clear (354407), 96-well white (354519), and 6-well clear (354400) collagen 1-coated plates from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). We purchased thioacetamide (163678) and a Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity Assay Kit (MAK066) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). We obtained a CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Cell Viability Assay (G9242) from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). We accomplished hepatocyte RNA isolation using the RNe-asy Plus Mini Kit (74134) and RNase Free DNase Set (79254) from Qiagen (Germantown, MD, USA).

#### 3.2. Cell Viability Assays

In order to optimize the dose and length of thioacetamide exposure needed to induce liver cell injury, we used a single pool of cells derived from 30 individual liver donors (15 males and 15 females) and prepared them by combining aliquots from four of the five lots (2110283, 1810126, 1810050, 2010236) of multi-donor pooled primary human hepatocytes (Sekisui XenoTech). We plated the hepatocytes from this single pool at a density of 40,000 cells per well in either white 96-well collagen 1-coated plates for the measurement of cell viability (intracellular ATP levels) after exposure to thioacetamide using the CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Cell Viability Assay (Promega) or in clear 96-well collagen 1-coated plates to measure cell viability (membrane integrity) using the Lactate Dehydrogenase Activity Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). We thawed cryopreserved human hepatocytes and plated them following the supplier's (Sekisui XenoTech) protocol using the cell culture reagents provided, OptiThaw Hepatocyte Kit (K8000), OptiPlate Hepatocyte Media (K8200), and OptiCulture Hepatocyte Media (K8300). The dosing of thioacetamide in OptiCulture Hepatocyte Media began 24 h after the hepatocytes were placed into culture. We tested 8 doses (0, 0.049, 0.15, 0.44, 1.33, 4.0, 12, and 36 mM) with 6 different lengths of exposure (4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 h) for a total of 48 conditions. We plated the hepatocytes at a density of 40,000 cells per well in white 96-well collagen 1-coated plates following the supplier's (Sekisui XenoTech) protocol using the cell culture reagents provided to assess the efficacy of the lowdose (1.33 mM) and high-dose (12 mM) thioacetamide treatments after 24 h on the same five lots of pooled primary human hepatocytes that were utilized to isolate RNA for RNAseq analysis. We assessed the cell viability after the thioacetamide treatment using the CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Cell Viability Assay (Promega).

#### 3.3. Experimental Procedures

We identified pools of plateable cryopreserved human hepatocytes, derived from multiple human liver donors, from a commercial vendor (Sekisui XenoTech). We obtained the metabolically characterized plateable hepatocytes as separate collections (lots) composed of groups of ten or five liver donors. We selected five different lots of multi-donor pooled primary human hepatocytes as follows: two lots (A: 2110283 and B: 1810126) consisted of pools from five individual donors, and three lots (C: 1810050, D: 2010236, and E: 2210146) consisted of pools from ten individual donors. The multi-donor pooled primary human hepatocytes were derived from 40 individual donors (39 unique donors), 21 females and 19 males, with an average age of 46 and body mass index of 28 for both males and females (Figure 9). We identified one donor, H1442, in two lots of pooled primary human hepatocytes, and indicated this in Figure S5, which shows a summary of the demographics of the 40 donors, varying in race, ethnicity, gender, and age, for the five lots of pooled primary human hepatocytes.

We treated the five different lots of multi-donor pools of primary human hepatocytes for 24 h with zero (control), 1.33 mM (low dose), and 12 mM (high dose) thioacetamide (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA), with 1.33 mM and 12 mM representing the optimized low and high doses, respectively, to generate a minimal to a significant toxicant injury. These cell treatments generated a total of seventy-five RNA samples, five samples per each of the three treatments of the five different lots of liver cells. We plated and cultured each lot of pooled primary human hepatocytes into five wells of six-well, collagen 1-coated plates (Corning BioCoat Plates, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for each treatment condition using the protocols and reagents provided by the supplier (Sekisui XenoTech). We performed three treatments (0, 1.33, and 12 mM thioacetamide), thus, fifteen six-well plates with ~300,000 cells per well were used to isolate RNA samples from seventy-five wells of cells after 24 h of treatment. We isolated and purified total RNA using RNeasy Plus Mini Kits with in-column DNase I digestion (Qiagen) from these 75 samples and submitted all 75 RNA samples to the Vanderbilt University Medical Center VANTAGE Core (Nashville, TN, USA) for RNA sequencing. A stranded mRNA (polyA-selected) library preparation kit was used for mRNA enrichment and cDNA library production on each RNA sample. Sequencing was performed at the paired-end 150 bp on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (San Diego, CA, USA), targeting an average of 50 million reads per sample. Quality control reports for the sequencing and the per sample yield were provided. The RNA sequencing results were in the form of de-multiplexed FASTQ files. All the files obtained from the RNA-seq were placed in the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, using the GEO series accession number GSE250139.



**Figure 9.** Experimental protocol for thioacetamide exposure to five lots of pooled human hepatocytes. BMI, body mass index.

#### 3.4. Computational Details for RNA-Seq Data, KEGG Pathways, and Injury Module Analysis

We employed Kallisto [77,78] for the analysis of the multi-donor pooled human hepatocyte RNA-seq data. The program pseudo-aligns the reads to a reference and produces the quantification of the transcript abundances for each read. For this study, we downloaded the *Homo sapiens* transcriptome from the Ensemble [79] website and used it for the pseudo-aligning of the reads. We accomplished the estimation for the uncertainty of transcript abundance by repeating the analyses 100 times after resampling with replacement through the bootstrap technique. We used another related tool named Sleuth [80] for the analysis and comparison of all the transcript data, estimating the gene variance and leading to the identification of the significantly expressed genes. We set the criteria for significantly expressed genes with a false discovery rate-adjusted *p*-value (q-value) as  $\leq 0.1$ .

Next, we used the publicly available gene co-expression-based tool TOXPANEL [40], formulated by our research group, to assess injury modules and KEGG pathways. This tool uses the gene expression of a set of genes to predict an adverse effect on the kidney or liver. Because it is challenging to predict organ injury or damaging effects based on individual genes, using the co-expressed gene set may be able to provide a clearer injury endpoint and mechanism. TOXPANEL uses the following two methods: aggregated absolute fold change (AAFC) and aggregated fold change (AFC) [7,8]. We input into TOX-PANEL the responses from the set of genes, in the form of log-transformed gene expression values for the control and treatment groups. The AAFC method calculated the fold-

change values for each gene by taking the difference between the control and treatment cohorts. The fold-change values for the set of pre-defined genes were subsequently grouped together, resulting in the z-score of each module or a particular pathway. Each module encompassed several genes along with their fold-change values. The AAFC method accounted only for the change in the set of genes and did not categorize the up-or down-regulated pattern of the genes. The AFC method can support this particular functionality and provide the direction for the gene expression sets, which becomes advantageous for the analysis of KEGG pathways [81]. The significance of each module was also estimated by the *p*-value, which is defined as the probability of the score for randomly (10,000 times) selected fold-change values becoming greater than the actual module score [40]. A lower *p*-value (preferably <0.05) indicated the importance of a particular module from the different modules. For additional details on TOXPANEL and its methods, we refer the reader to our earlier articles [7,8,40]. In addition, we utilized the DAVID [82] tool for the set of 816 common differentially expressed genes in order to perform the gene-enrichment/KEGG analysis.

# 4. Conclusions

Utilizing thioacetamide as a model hepatotoxicant, we collected transcriptomics data from multi-donor human pooled hepatocytes. We used five biological replicates (experimental lots) of pooled human hepatocytes, collected from several donors with different racial, gender, and age distributions, at two different thioacetamide concentrations. In this work, we focused on the high dose of thioacetamide as it led to a significant reduction in cell viability, thus likely generating an injury response. Based on the studies reported so far, the utility or suitability of pooled human hepatocytes to predict liver toxicity is unclear, and this motivated us to perform this study. We evaluated the generated gene expression data using conventional gene expression statistical methods, KEGG enrichment analysis to predict molecular pathways, and liver injury modules to predict histopathological outcomes as potential liver injury phenotypes. We identified significantly altered genes that displayed similarities in their response despite the high variability between the lots, and we found that several of these genes are associated with amino acid, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism KEGG pathways. In particular, significant alterations in lipid metabolism pathways, including steroid, steroid hormone, and unsaturated fatty acid production, were evident and were associated with liver injuries. The pooled human hepatocytes showed weaker activation signals for most of the injury modules than previously observed with the in vitro single-donor primary hepatocytes; however, our analysis showed bile duct proliferation as a consistent change in the lot-to-lot readout. Despite the large inter-subject variability, we found a common set of differentially expressed genes that displayed similar behavior to thioacetamide across the lots of pooled human hepatocytes. This common gene set can be evaluated in future pooled hepatocyte experiments with hepatotoxicants. We also analyzed the expression pattern of key transporters and cytochrome P450 enzymes in the pooled human hepatocytes. We found larger lot-to-lot variability in their expression patterns, indicating a potential for alteration in toxicant bioavailability within the cells, which could in turn affect the gene expression patterns between the lots. Our work provides some key insights that should be considered in future pooled human hepatocyte experiments. Overall, the inherent variability of the characteristics of multi-donor pooled hepatocytes shows that additional work needs to be completed in order to capture and assess this variability and the impacts on toxicity studies.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25063265/s1.

**Author Contributions:** The overall conception and design of the work was made by M.D.M.A., V.R.P. and A.W. All of the in vitro pooled hepatocyte experiments, as well as the RNA extraction and purification procedures, were planned and executed by R.L.P. The first draft of the manuscript was written by H.G., who also examined the gene expression data and carried out computational

analysis. The compilation of the figures and tables, as well as the analysis of the data, were assisted by V.R.P., M.D.M.A. and A.W. They also revised the final draft of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (Fort Detrick, MD, USA) under Contract No. W81XWH20C0031 and by Defense Threat Reduction Agency Grant CBCall14-CBS-05-2-0007. The Vanderbilt University Medical Center VAN-TAGE Core provided technical assistance for RNA sequencing, and VANTAGE is supported in part by Clinical and Translational Science Award Grant 5UL1 RR024975-03, Vanderbilt Ingram Cancer Center Grant P30 CA68485, Vanderbilt Vision Center Grant P30 EY08126, and National Institutes of Health/National Center for Research Resources Grant G20 RR030956.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and Supplementary Materials.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The opinions and assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the U.S. Army, the U.S. Department of Defense, or The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc. Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release: Distribution is unlimited.

# References

- 1. Saeidnia, S.; Manayi, A.; Abdollahi, M. From in vitro experiments to in vivo and clinical studies; pros and cons. *Curr. Drug Discov. Technol.* **2015**, *12*, 218–224.
- 2. Vinken, M. Primary hepatocyte cultures for liver disease modeling. Curr. Opin. Toxicol. 2021, 25, 1–5.
- 3. Gomez-Lechon, M.; Donato, M.; Castell, J.; Jover, R. Human hepatocytes in primary culture: The choice to investigate drug metabolism in man. *Curr. Drug Metab.* **2004**, *5*, 443–462.
- 4. Kaur, I.; Vasudevan, A.; Rawal, P.; Tripathi, D.M.; Ramakrishna, S.; Kaur, S.; Sarin, S.K. Primary hepatocyte isolation and cultures: Technical aspects, challenges and advancements. *Bioengineering* **2023**, *10*, 131.
- Su, S.; Di Poto, C.; Roy, R.; Liu, X.; Cui, W.; Kroemer, A.; Ressom, H.W. Long-term culture and characterization of patientderived primary hepatocytes using conditional reprogramming. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 2019, 244, 857–864.
- Alexander-Dann, B.; Pruteanu, L.L.; Oerton, E.; Sharma, N.; Berindan-Neagoe, I.; Módos, D.; Bender, A. Developments in toxicogenomics: Understanding and predicting compound-induced toxicity from gene expression data. *Mol. Omics* 2018, 14, 218–236.
- Schyman, P.; Printz, R.L.; Estes, S.K.; Boyd, K.L.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. Identification of the toxicity pathways associated with thioacetamide-induced injuries in rat liver and kidney. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2018, 9, 1272.
- 8. Schyman, P.; Printz, R.L.; Estes, S.K.; O'Brien, T.P.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. Assessing chemical-induced liver injury in vivo from in vitro gene expression data in the rat: The case of thioacetamide toxicity. *Front. Genet.* **2019**, *10*, 1233.
- Schyman, P.; Printz, R.L.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Estes, S.K.; Shiota, C.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. A toxicogenomic approach to assess kidney injury induced by mercuric chloride in rats. *Toxicology* 2020, 442, 152530.
- Schyman, P.; Printz, R.L.; Estes, S.K.; O'Brien, T.P.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. Concordance between thioacetamide-induced liver injury in rat and human in vitro gene expression data. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 4017.
- Schyman, P.; Printz, R.L.; Pannala, V.R.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Estes, S.K.; Shiota, C.; Boyd, K.L.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. Genomics and metabolomics of early-stage thioacetamide-induced liver injury: An interspecies study between guinea pig and rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2021, 430, 115713.
- 12. Goel, H.; Printz, R.L.; Shiota, C.; Estes, S.K.; Pannala, V.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Shiota, M.; Wallqvist, A. Assessing kidney injury induced by mercuric chloride in guinea pigs with in vivo and in vitro experiments. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 7434.
- 13. Melis, M.; Tang, X.-H.; Trasino, S.E.; Gudas, L.J. Retinoids in the pathogenesis and treatment of liver diseases. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 1456.
- 14. Natarajan, S.K.; Thomas, S.; Ramachandran, A.; Pulimood, A.B.; Balasubramanian, K.A. Retinoid metabolism during development of liver cirrhosis. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2005**, 443, 93–100.
- 15. Xi, J.; Yang, Z. Expression of RALDHs (ALDH1As) and CYP26s in human tissues and during the neural differentiation of P19 embryonal carcinoma stem cell. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **2008**, *8*, 438–442.
- 16. Tay, S.; Dickmann, L.; Dixit, V.; Isoherranen, N. A comparison of the roles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoic acid receptor on CYP26 regulation. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2010**, *77*, 218–227.
- 17. Thatcher, J.E.; Zelter, A.; Isoherranen, N. The relative importance of CYP26A1 in hepatic clearance of all-trans retinoic acid. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2010**, *80*, 903–912.

- Shmarakov, I.O.; Borschovetska, V.L.; Marchenko, M.M.; Blaner, W.S. Retinoids modulate thioacetamide-induced acute hepatotoxicity. *Toxicol. Sci.* 2014, 139, 284–292.
- 19. Kedishvili, N.Y. Enzymology of retinoic acid biosynthesis and degradation: Thematic review series: Fat-soluble vitamins: Vitamin A. J. Lipid Res. 2013, 54, 1744–1760.
- Guo, L.; Dial, S.; Shi, L.; Branham, W.; Liu, J.; Fang, J.-L.; Green, B.; Deng, H.; Kaput, J.; Ning, B. Similarities and differences in the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes between human hepatic cell lines and primary human hepatocytes. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2011, 39, 528–538.
- 21. Starlinger, P.; Brunnthaler, L.; McCabe, C.; Pereyra, D.; Santol, J.; Steadman, J.; Hackl, M.; Skalicky, S.; Hackl, H.; Gronauer, R. Transcriptomic landscapes of effective and failed liver regeneration in humans. *JHEP Rep.* **2023**, *5*, 100683.
- Petrenko, O.; Königshofer, P.; Brusilovskaya, K.; Hofer, B.S.; Bareiner, K.; Simbrunner, B.; Jühling, F.; Baumert, T.F.; Lupberger, J.; Trauner, M. Transcriptomic signatures of progressive and regressive liver fibrosis and portal hypertension. *iScience* 2024, 27, 109301.
- 23. Zhao, M.; Wang, L.; Wang, M.; Zhou, S.; Lu, Y.; Cui, H.; Racanelli, A.C.; Zhang, L.; Ye, T.; Ding, B. Targeting fibrosis: Mechanisms and clinical trials. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2022**, *7*, 206.
- 24. Chang, G.-R.; Lin, W.-L.; Lin, T.-C.; Liao, H.-J.; Lu, Y.-W. The ameliorative effects of saikosaponin in thioacetamide-induced liver injury and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 11383.
- Kang, H.; Seo, E.; Park, J.M.; Han, N.Y.; Lee, H.; Jun, H.S. Effects of FGF 21-secreting adipose-derived stem cells in thioacetamideinduced hepatic fibrosis. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2018, 22, 5165–5169.
- 26. Tsai, M.-Y.; Yang, W.-C.; Lin, C.-F.; Wang, C.-M.; Liu, H.-Y.; Lin, C.-S.; Lin, J.-W.; Lin, W.-L.; Lin, T.-C.; Fan, P.-S. The ameliorative effects of fucoidan in thioacetaide-induced liver injury in mice. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 1937.
- 27. Tillman, E.J.; Rolph, T. FGF21: An emerging therapeutic target for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and related metabolic diseases. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *11*, 601290.
- Song, Z.; Chen, W.; Athavale, D.; Ge, X.; Desert, R.; Das, S.; Han, H.; Nieto, N. Osteopontin takes center stage in chronic liver disease. *Hepatology* 2021, 73, 1594–1608.
- 29. Ferrigno, A.; Di Pasqua, L.G.; Berardo, C.; Richelmi, P.; Vairetti, M. Liver plays a central role in asymmetric dimethylargininemediated organ injury. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2015**, *21*, 5131.
- 30. Ritter, M.J.; Amano, I.; Hollenberg, A.N. Thyroid hormone signaling and the liver. *Hepatology* **2020**, *72*, 742–752.
- 31. Weber, S.N.; Lammert, F. Genetics in liver diseases: From diagnostics to precise therapy. Clin. Liver Dis. 2017, 9, 1-4.
- 32. Schumann, T.; König, J.; Henke, C.; Willmes, D.M.; Bornstein, S.R.; Jordan, J.; Fromm, M.F.; Birkenfeld, A.L. Solute carrier transporters as potential targets for the treatment of metabolic disease. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2020**, *72*, 343–379.
- 33. Cooper, G.M.; Adams, K. The Cell: A Molecular Approach; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2023.
- Ferdinandusse, S.; Houten, S.M. Peroxisomes and bile acid biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* 2006, 1763, 1427–1440.
- 35. Ferdinandusse, S.; Denis, S.; Faust, P.L.; Wanders, R.J. Bile acids: The role of peroxisomes. J. Lipid Res. 2009, 50, 2139–2147.
- 36. Yu, S.; Ji, G.; Zhang, L. The role of p53 in liver fibrosis. Front. Pharmacol. 2022, 13, 1057829.
- 37. Roehlen, N.; Roca Suarez, A.A.; El Saghire, H.; Saviano, A.; Schuster, C.; Lupberger, J.; Baumert, T.F. Tight junction proteins and the biology of hepatobiliary disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 825.
- 38. Zeisel, M.B.; Dhawan, P.; Baumert, T.F. Tight junction proteins in gastrointestinal and liver disease. Gut 2019, 68, 547–561.
- Farley-Barnes, K.I.; Baserga, S.J. Ribosome biogenesis and its role in cell growth and proliferation in the liver. In *The Liver: Biology* and Pathobiology; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2020; Chapter 15, pp. 174–182.
- 40. Schyman, P.; Xu, Z.; Desai, V.; Wallqvist, A. TOXPANEL: A gene-set analysis tool to assess liver and kidney injuries. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *12*, 601511.
- 41. Zheng, L.; Yin, L.; Xu, L.; Qi, Y.; Li, H.; Xu, Y.; Han, X.; Liu, K.; Peng, J. Protective effect of dioscin against thioacetamide-induced acute liver injury via FXR/AMPK signaling pathway in vivo. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *97*, 481–488.
- 42. Ezhilarasan, D. Molecular mechanisms in thioacetamide-induced acute and chronic liver injury models. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2023**, *99*, 104093.
- 43. Roehlen, N.; Crouchet, E.; Baumert, T.F. Liver fibrosis: Mechanistic concepts and therapeutic perspectives. Cells 2020, 9, 875.
- 44. Omar, J.M.; Hai, Y.; Jin, S. Hypoxia-induced factor and its role in liver fibrosis. PeerJ 2022, 10, e14299.
- 45. Lv, X.; Kong, J.; Chen, W.-D.; Wang, Y.-D. The role of the apelin/APJ system in the regulation of liver disease. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *8*, 221.
- Zhao, Y.; Liu, X.; Ding, C.; Gu, Y.; Liu, W. Dihydromyricetin reverses thioacetamide-induced liver fibrosis through inhibiting NF-κB-mediated inflammation and TGF-β1-regulated of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 12, 783886.
- 47. Sato, K.; Marzioni, M.; Meng, F.; Francis, H.; Glaser, S.; Alpini, G. Ductular reaction in liver diseases: Pathological mechanisms and translational significances. *Hepatology* **2019**, *69*, 420–430.
- Gadd, V.L.; Skoien, R.; Powell, E.E.; Fagan, K.J.; Winterford, C.; Horsfall, L.; Irvine, K.; Clouston, A.D. The portal inflammatory infiltrate and ductular reaction in human nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2014, 59, 1393–1405.
- 49. Desmet, V.; Roskams, T.; Van Eyken, P. Ductular reaction in the livers. Pathol.-Res. Pract. 1995, 191, 513-524.
- 50. Gouw, A.S.; Clouston, A.D.; Theise, N.D. Ductular reactions in human liver: Diversity at the interface. *Hepatology* **2011**, *54*, 1853–1863.

- Kohonen, P.; Parkkinen, J.A.; Willighagen, E.L.; Ceder, R.; Wennerberg, K.; Kaski, S.; Grafström, R.C. A transcriptomics datadriven gene space accurately predicts liver cytopathology and drug-induced liver injury. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 15932.
- 52. Tawa, G.J.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Yu, X.; Kumar, K.; Ippolito, D.L.; Lewis, J.A.; Stallings, J.D.; Wallqvist, A. Characterization of chemically induced liver injuries using gene co-expression modules. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e107230.
- 53. Te, J.A.; AbdulHameed, M.D.M.; Wallqvist, A. Systems toxicology of chemically induced liver and kidney injuries: Histopathology-associated gene co-expression modules. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2016**, *36*, 1137–1149.
- 54. Jetter, A.; Kullak-Ublick, G.A. Drugs and hepatic transporters: A review. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2020, 154, 104234.
- 55. Tátrai, P.; Erdő, F.; Krajcsi, P. Role of hepatocyte transporters in drug-induced liver injury (DILI) In Vitro testing. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *15*, 29.
- 56. Davit-Spraul, A.; Gonzales, E.; Baussan, C.; Jacquemin, E. Progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **2009**, *4*, 1.
- Nicolaou, M.; Andress, E.J.; Zolnerciks, J.K.; Dixon, P.H.; Williamson, C.; Linton, K.J. Canalicular ABC transporters and liver disease. J. Pathol. 2012, 226, 300–315.
- 58. Adam, M.P.; Mirzaa, G.M.; Pagon, R.A.; Wallace, S.E.; Bean, L.J.; Gripp, K.W.; Amemiya, A. *GeneReviews®[Internet]*; University of Washington: Seattle, WA, USA, 1993.
- Paulusma, C.C.; de Waart, D.R.; Kunne, C.; Mok, K.S.; Elferink, R.P.O. Activity of the bile salt export pump (ABCB11) is critically dependent on canalicular membrane cholesterol content. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 9947–9954.
- Andersen, J.P.; Vestergaard, A.L.; Mikkelsen, S.A.; Mogensen, L.S.; Chalat, M.; Molday, R.S. P4-ATPases as phospholipid flippases—Structure, function, and enigmas. *Front. Physiol.* 2016, 7, 275.
- 61. Waring, R.H. Cytochrome P450: Genotype to phenotype. Xenobiotica 2020, 50, 9–18.
- 62. Zhao, M.; Ma, J.; Li, M.; Zhang, Y.; Jiang, B.; Zhao, X.; Huai, C.; Shen, L.; Zhang, N.; He, L. Cytochrome P450 enzymes and drug metabolism in humans. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 12808.
- 63. Hewitt, N.J.; Gómez Lechón, M.J.; Houston, J.B.; Hallifax, D.; Brown, H.S.; Maurel, P.; Kenna, J.G.; Gustavsson, L.; Lohmann, C.; Skonberg, C. Primary hepatocytes: Current understanding of the regulation of metabolic enzymes and transporter proteins, and pharmaceutical practice for the use of hepatocytes in metabolism, enzyme induction, transporter, clearance, and hepatotoxicity studies. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 2007, *39*, 159–234.
- Zolfaghari, R.; Cifelli, C.J.; Lieu, S.O.; Chen, Q.; Li, N.-q.; Ross, A.C. Lipopolysaccharide opposes the induction of CYP26A1 and CYP26B1 gene expression by retinoic acid in the rat liver in vivo. *Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2007, 292, G1029– G1036.
- 65. Bawa, F.N.C.; Zhang, Y. Retinoic acid signaling in fatty liver disease. Liver Res. 2023, 7, 189–195.
- 66. Czuba, L.C.; Wu, X.; Huang, W.; Hollingshead, N.; Roberto, J.B.; Kenerson, H.L.; Yeung, R.S.; Crispe, I.N.; Isoherranen, N. Altered vitamin A metabolism in human liver slices corresponds to fibrogenesis. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* **2021**, *14*, 976–989.
- 67. Shirakami, Y.; Lee, S.-A.; Clugston, R.D.; Blaner, W.S. Hepatic metabolism of retinoids and disease associations. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids* **2012**, *1821*, 124–136.
- Pettinelli, P.; Arendt, B.M.; Teterina, A.; McGilvray, I.; Comelli, E.M.; Fung, S.K.; Fischer, S.E.; Allard, J.P. Altered hepatic genes related to retinol metabolism and plasma retinol in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLoS ONE* 2018, *13*, e0205747.
- 69. He, Y.; Gong, L.; Fang, Y.; Zhan, Q.; Liu, H.-X.; Lu, Y.; Guo, G.L.; Lehman-McKeeman, L.; Fang, J.; Wan, Y.-J.Y. The role of retinoic acid in hepatic lipid homeostasis defined by genomic binding and transcriptome profiling. *BMC Genom.* 2013, 14, 575.
- Kang, J.S.; Wanibuchi, H.; Morimura, K.; Wongpoomchai, R.; Chusiri, Y.; Gonzalez, F.J.; Fukushima, S. Role of CYP2E1 in thioacetamide-induced mouse hepatotoxicity. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2008, 228, 295–300.
- 71. Leung, T.-M.; Nieto, N. CYP2E1 and oxidant stress in alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* **2013**, *58*, 395–398.
- 72. Cichoż-Lach, H.; Michalak, A. Oxidative stress as a crucial factor in liver diseases. World J. Gastroenterol. 2014, 20, 8082.
- Marciniak, S.; Wnorowski, A.; Smolińska, K.; Walczyna, B.; Turski, W.; Kocki, T.; Paluszkiewicz, P.; Parada-Turska, J. Kynurenic acid protects against thioacetamide-induced liver injury in rats. *Anal. Cell. Pathol.* 2018, 2018, 1270483.
- 74. Tracy, T.S.; Chaudhry, A.S.; Prasad, B.; Thummel, K.E.; Schuetz, E.G.; Zhong, X.-B.; Tien, Y.-C.; Jeong, H.; Pan, X.; Shireman, L.M. Interindividual variability in cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2016**, *44*, 343–351.
- 75. Shirasaka, Y.; Chaudhry, A.S.; McDonald, M.; Prasad, B.; Wong, T.; Calamia, J.C.; Fohner, A.; Thornton, T.A.; Isoherranen, N.; Unadkat, J.D. Interindividual variability of CYP2C19-catalyzed drug metabolism due to differences in gene diplotypes and cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase content. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2016, *16*, 375–387.
- 76. Li, A.P.; Ho, M.C.D.; Alam, N.; Mitchell, W.; Wong, S.; Yan, Z.; Kenny, J.R.; Hop, C.E.C.A. Inter-individual and inter-regional variations in enteric drug metabolizing enzyme activities: Results with cryopreserved human intestinal mucosal epithelia (CHIM) from the small intestines of 14 donors. *Pharmacol. Res. Perspect.* 2020, *8*, e00645.
- 77. Zhang, C.; Zhang, B.; Lin, L.-L.; Zhao, S. Evaluation and comparison of computational tools for RNA-seq isoform quantification. BMC Genom. 2017, 18, 583.
- Bray, N.L.; Pimentel, H.; Melsted, P.; Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2016, 34, 525.
- 79. Zerbino, D.R.; Achuthan, P.; Akanni, W.; Amode, M.R.; Barrell, D.; Bhai, J.; Billis, K.; Cummins, C.; Gall, A.; Girón, C.G.; et al. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2018**, *46*, D754–D761.

- 80. Pimentel, H.; Bray, N.L.; Puente, S.; Melsted, P.; Pachter, L. Differential analysis of RNA-seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. *Nat. Methods* **2017**, *14*, 687.
- 81. Kanehisa, M.; Goto, S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000, 28, 27–30.
- Huang, D.W.; Sherman, B.T.; Tan, Q.; Collins, J.R.; Alvord, W.G.; Roayaei, J.; Stephens, R.; Baseler, M.W.; Lane, H.C.; Lempicki, R.A. The DAVID Gene Functional Classification Tool: A novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. *Genome Biol.* 2007, *8*, R183.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.